



STOCKPORT
METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

Chief Executive's Directorate
Town Hall, Stockport SK1 3XE
Contact: Steve Worthington on 0161 474 3239
Email: steve.worthington@stockport.gov.uk
Web: www.stockport.gov.uk/democracy

Scrutiny Committee

AGENDA

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Committee Rooms 1&2
Town Hall
Stockport

Meeting: Wednesday, 2nd June 2010
Tea: 5.00 pm (Stopford House)
Business: 6.00 pm

Substitutes

Councillors who require a substitute to be appointed should inform Democratic Services using the pro-forma by 4.00 pm on Friday 28 May 2010. Councillors who wish to arrange their own substitute should inform Democratic Services of the name of their substitute prior to the commencement of the meeting.

1. MINUTES

To approve as a correct record and sign the Minutes of the meeting held on 7 April, 2010.
(Enclosed)

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillors and officers to declare any interest which they may have in any of the items on the agenda for this meeting (including whipping declarations).

3. CALL-IN

To consider any call-in items.

4. QUARTER 4 2009/10 PERFORMANCE REPORT

To consider a report of the Corporate Director, Children & Young People. (Enclosed)

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of the performance during the 4th quarter of the 2009/10 financial year, performance during the 2008/09 academic year and to provide a year end performance forecast for performance indicators where data is available. The report includes LAA targets (2008-2011) and national indicators relevant to children and young people.

Officer Contact: Donna Sager Tel: 474 3928 email: donna.sager@stockport.gov.uk

5. 2009/10 REVENUE BUDGET MONITORING UPDATE ON PROVISIONAL OUTTURN POSITION

To consider a report of the Corporate Director, Business Services. (Previously circulated)

This report provides an update on the 2009/10 revenue budget provisional outturn position. The figures presented in this report are draft at this stage and a more detailed report on the final position will be presented to the next Executive Meeting on 14 June 2010 and the subsequent cycle of Scrutiny Committees.

The Scrutiny Committee is invited to comment on the report.

Officer contact: Christine Buxton Tel: 474 4124 email: christine.buxton@stockport.gov.uk

Councillors are encouraged to submit questions on the financial and performance monitoring reports prior to the meeting in order to enable a detailed response to be available at the meeting. Questions should be submitted to either Steve Worthington Tel: 474 3239 or email: steve.worthington@stockport.gov.uk or David Tomlinson Tel: 218 1634 or email: david.tomlinson@stockport.gov.uk

6. STOCKPORT MANAGING MEDICAL CONDITIONS POLICY (OFFERTON HIGH SCHOOL INCIDENT REPORT)

To consider a report of the Corporate Director, Children & Young People. (Enclosed)

At the meeting of Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee in April 2010, the Committee requested a report in relation to the tragic incident at Offerton High School.

This report provides information on training, further action taken together with various provisions in place for monitoring processes through a self assessment tool for schools which enables them to record and evidence their compliance with the policy.

The Scrutiny Committee is invited to comment on the report.

Officer Contact: Donna Sager Tel: 474 3928 email: donna.sager@stockport.gov.uk

7. CORPORATE PARENTING STRATEGY

To consider a report of the Corporate Director, Children & Young People (Enclosed)

This report details the background, aims, objectives and provisions of the Corporate Parenting Strategy and its role relating to looked after children in Stockport.

The Scrutiny Committee is invited to comment on the report.

Officer Contact: Dominic Tumelty Tel: 475 6786 email: dominic.tumelty@stockport.gov.uk

8. YOUNG CARERS SCRUTINY REVIEW – EXECUTIVE RESPONSE

3

To consider a report of the Corporate Director, Children & Young People detailing the Executive's response to the Scrutiny Review on Young Carers. (Enclosed)

The Scrutiny Committee is invited to comment on the recommendations of the Executive contained within the report.

Officer Contact: Dominic Tumelty Tel: 475 6786 email: dominic.tumelty@stockport.gov.uk

9. RESUME OF THE CHILDREN'S TRUST BOARD – 11 MARCH 2010

To note the resume of the meeting of the Children's Trust Board held on 11 March, 2010 (Enclosed)

Officer contact: Jonathan Vali Tel: 474 3201, email: jonathan.vali@stockport.gov.uk

10. AGENDA PLANNING

To consider a report of the Assistant Chief Executive (Strategy and Democracy) (Enclosed)

The report sets out planned agenda items for the next two meetings of the Committee and Forward Plan items that fall within its remit.

The Scrutiny Committee is invited to consider the information in the report and put forward any agenda items for future meetings.

Officer contact: David Tomlinson, Tel: 218 1634, email: david.tomlinson@stockport.gov.uk

Agenda officer contact: Steve Worthington on 474 3239, e-mail: steve.worthington@stockport.gov.uk or Fax: 0161 474 3240

If you require a copy of the agenda or a particular report(s) by e mail or in large print, braille or audio, please contact the above person for further details. A minicom facility is available on 0161 474 3128.

A loop system is available in the meeting rooms in the Town Hall. Please contact the Town Hall Reception on 0161 474 3251 for further details.

A free interpreting service is available if you need help with this information.
Please telephone Stockport Interpreting Unit on 0161 477 9000.
Email: eds.admin@stockport.gov.uk

如果你需要他人為你解釋這份資料的內容，我們可以提供免費的傳譯服務，
請致電 0161 477 9000 史托波特傳譯部。

W przypadku gdybyś potrzebował pomocy odnośnie tej informacji,
dostępne są usługi tłumaczeniowe. Prosimy dzwonić do Interpreting
Unit pod numer 0161 477 9000.

যদি এই খবরগুলি সম্পর্কে আপনার কোন সাহায্য দরকার হয় তবে বিনা খরচে আপনার জন্য দোভাষীর ব্যবস্থা করা হতে
পারে। মেহেরবানী করে স্টকপোর্ট ইন্টারপ্রিটিং ইউনিটে ফোন করুন টেলিফোন নম্বর, 0161 477 9000.

اگر آپ کو ان معلومات کے بارے میں مدد کی ضرورت ہے تو مفت ترجمانی کی سروس دستیاب ہے۔ براہ مہربانی انٹرپرائٹنگ یونٹ کو
0161 477 9000 پر فون کریں۔

خدمات ترجمہ رایگان این اطلاعات در صورت نیاز موجود میباشد. لطفا با شماره تلفن 0161 477 9000 یا
واحد ترجمہ (اینترپرائٹنگ یونٹ) ما تماس بگیرید.

تنوفر خدمة ترجمة شفوية اذا تطلبت مساعدة في فهم هذا المعلومات. نرجو الاتصال اربن رينيول على رقم
الهاتف: 0161 477 9000

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Meeting: 7 April 2010

At: 6.00 pm

PRESENT

Councillor Jenny Humphreys (Chair) in the Chair; Councillor Ann Smith (Vice Chair); Councillors Ben Alexander, Paul Bellis, Owen Breen, Colin Foster, Sue Ingham, Pam King, Hazel Lees, Wendy Orrell, Paul Porgess and Iain Roberts.

Also in attendance

Mr Mark Ratcliffe (Co-opted Member)

1. MINUTES

The Minutes (copies of which had been circulated) of the meeting held on 17 February were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair subject to a reference to a request for further information in respect of the take-up of school meals at Werneth School (Minute 10).

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

3. CALL-IN

There were no items to consider.

4. JOINT STRATEGIC PLAN FOR STOCKPORT CHILD AND ADOLESCENT MENTAL HEALTH (CAMHS) 2009/10 – 2013/14

The Commissioning Manager for Children and Young People, Primary Care and Partnerships, NHS Stockport submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing joint strategic plan for Stockport Child and Adolescent Mental Health (CAMHS) 2009/10 – 2013/14.

The report was a copy of that presented to the PCT Board in February 2010. It described the strategic aims of the CAMHS Partnership, the extent to which these had been achieved, areas of concern, the challenges ahead and the priorities for improvement and reform. The Committee was informed of a general increase in autism and ADHD and of improvements needed in the provision of services for 16&17 year olds and for those with learning disabilities. It was recognised that there was greater scope for providers of integrated services to develop a joint commissioning approach from Stockport PCT and Stockport Council.

It was reported that joined up practices through weekly meetings between various agencies had led to improvement through coordinating work which was relevant to various teams such as mental health and crime prevention. Progress had been made in formalising relationships with outside agencies although gaps and restraints

remained. Success was measured in terms of the assessment of young people prior to completion of a treatment through formal indicators such as children's global assessment. It was recognised that many young people had ongoing conditions that could be lifelong and would 'flare up' at certain points in their lives. Good treatment was about the promotion of good mental health and equipping young people to deal with life's problems more successfully.

In response to Member's questions it was reported that a Single Access Panel allowed burden sharing in the event of staff shortages by promoting the building and transfer of skills. In addition, CAMHS was addressing cultural issues relating to lack of take-up of services from ethnic minorities. Young carers and their issues had also been recognised within the strategy. In addition, it was reported that the closure of paediatric beds across the region had exacerbated problems relating to private children's homes in Stockport.

Following further questions, the Committee was informed that specialist coordination could help improve the process of self assessment. Although substantial investment was needed, Stockport PCT funding was currently below the national average and a clear north/south divide was evident. However, current staffing levels were in line with the borough's statistical neighbours.

Further debate ensued with regard to rate of GP referrals of children aged 0-19 years into CAMHS. It was reported that the quality of information accompany referrals had improved. However, the reduction in referral rates from schools was questioned and the Committee was informed that teachers were unable to refer pupils under current regulations. Concern was also expressed with regard to a stark reduction in health visitor's referrals and further information on this was requested.

It was also reported that referral-to-treatment times had dramatically reduced in recent years and in response to further questions, the Committee was informed that it was national policy for 16-17 year olds to be transferred to adult services if they met the set criteria.

In addition, further questions were asked with regard to available transitional protocol where young people were identified with high risk conditions; and possible increases in cases depending on parental circumstances. The Committee was also informed that referrals from outside local authorities created a significant burden on both CAMHS and education services in Stockport.in terms of numbers and finance.

RESOLVED – (1) That the report be noted.

(2) That a future report be submitted on health visitor referrals together with further analysis of referral patterns, the extended role of the Health Visitor and their changing role.

(3) That the Corporate Director Children and Young People be requested to send a letter on to the relevant minister on behalf of the Committee expressing its concern with regard to the lack of permanent funding for Children's Mental Health Services in Stockport and the possible negative effects on staffing arrangements for certain

projects. In addition the Committee would requests a higher level of priority for mental health services for children at national level.

5. INITIAL REPORT ON DATA FROM THE TELL US 4 SURVEY OF YEARS 6,8 & 10 PUPILS

The Children & Young People's Service Director (Strategy and Performance) submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) and gave a presentation in respect of the Tell Us 4 Survey results of pupils in years 6,8 & 10. The 1310 respondents from a reported survey of 17,522 had provided significant sample information on key issues such as personal safety, bullying (both in and out of the school environment), consultation, diet, smoking and drugs. Clarification was requested in respect of the stated number of participants.

It was noted that both nationally and locally, positive figures in respect of healthy eating had reduced in comparison to previous years despite various campaigns to improve lifestyles. Primary schools in Stockport continued to provide healthy eating options and this needed to be carried over into secondary schools.

Councillors questioned the validity of responses in respect of drug taking and it was recognised that these could only provide an indicator rather than the true picture.

RESOLVED – That the report be noted.

6. SECONDARY BEHAVIOR REVIEW

The Corporate Director, Children and Young People submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing the Secondary Behaviour Review which began with a lengthy consultation period three years ago, looking at all aspects of behaviour in Stockport's secondary sector. It provided details of progress to date together with ongoing actions. The report was originally submitted to the postponed meeting scheduled for 6th January 2010 and had been updated to include further detail on school exclusions in the borough. The Committee was informed that the Monitoring Panel had commissioned a report on the role of pupil referral at Highfields School.

In addition, it was reported that the review had worked alongside the CAMHS review and had considered all aspects of behaviour at Secondary Schools. Further information was given with regard to activities for each outcome and the Committee was informed that improvements had been made in respect of NEET (Not in education employment or training) figures in Stockport. However, a number of Councillors questioned the high numbers of school exclusions in Stockport and commented that none-attendance at certain schools had been disappointing.

A study of the permanent exclusion figures in Stockport had not revealed a simple pattern and reasons for the comparatively high figures were being investigated. Further questions were asked with regard to (i) the length of exclusions and the consequent effects on schools taking on excluded pupils (ii) the role of School Governors in the process and how exclusions related to the CAMHS referral process. It was further reported that the lengths and instances of school exclusions were receding due to a cultural changes within Stockport schools.

RESOLVED – That the report be noted.

7. COMPLETED SCRUTINY REVIEWS PROGRESS REPORT

The Assistant Chief Executive (Strategy and Democracy) submitted the annual progress report of completed scrutiny reviews (copies of which had been circulated). The report enabled the Committee to monitor progress towards implementing agreed recommendations and provided an opportunity to monitor the effectiveness of its recommendations.

Councillors commented on the need for information in respect of the outcomes actions undertaken following on from the final recommendations of each review in order to evaluate their effectiveness. With regard to the Scrutiny Review Panel Raising Aspirations Sure Start a report was requested on progress and role out of the children's centre and measured success against the core group in terms of contract and services. This would show real evidence of contact with partnerships and would consider the achievements of the deprived 20% of children.

RESOLVED – (1) That the report be noted.

(2) That a progress report be submitted in respect of the Scrutiny Review Panel Raising Aspirations Sure Start.

8. PARTNERSHIP REPORTING TO SCRUTINY

The Assistant Chief Executive (Strategy and Democracy) submitted a report updating the Scrutiny Committee on a review of existing governance arrangements and the relationship between the Stockport Partnership with associated thematic boards and Scrutiny Committees. The report also contained proposed enhanced reporting arrangements between these partnerships and the associated Scrutiny Committees.

Councillors commented that the report should have included information on (i) all types of partnerships with the Council such as Schools Forum and (ii) how an individual partnership had met with the Council's stated aims and intentions. Councillors also requested to be notified as and when partnership agendas and reports became available online. The receipt of agendas and minutes was only a starting point and consideration was needed with regard to the submission of minutes and agendas of any associated sub groups from the main body.

RESOLVED – That the report be noted.

9. AGENDA PLANNING

The Assistant Chief Executive (Strategy & Democracy) submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) setting out the planned agenda items for the Committee's next meeting and Forward Plan items which fell within the remit of the Scrutiny Committee.

Councillors expressed concern with regard to the lack of information members of the

Committee had received in respect of a tragic incident that had occurred at Offerton High School which had been reported in the national press and media. Further information was requested in respect of action undertaken and lessons learnt. It was also suggested that health and safety in schools could be the subject of a possible future scrutiny review.

RESOLVED – (1) That the report be noted.

(2) That the Corporate Director, Children and Young People be requested to submit a report in respect of action undertaken with regard to a tragic incident at Offerton High School.

The meeting closed at 9.05 pm.

Children's Trust – Q4 2009/10 Performance Report

REPORT TO: Children and Young People's Scrutiny Committee

DATE: 2nd June 2010

REPORT OF: DATA AND PERFORMANCE

REPORT TITLE: Q4 2009/10 Performance Report

Contents:

Introduction	Page 3
2009 – 10 Highlights	Page 3
2009 – 10 Exceptions	Page 5
Report developments	Page 7
Performance Summary by ECM Outcome	Page 8
Local Area Agreement 2008 – 11 Performance Indicators	
Be Healthy	Page 9
Stay Safe	Page 11
Enjoy & Achieve	Page 12
Positive Contribution	Page 27
Economic Wellbeing	Page 30
CYP National Indicators (non-LAA)	
Be Healthy	Page 34
Stay Safe	Page 42
Enjoy & Achieve	Page 53
Positive Contribution	Page 64
Economic Wellbeing	Page 72

1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of the performance for the 2009/10 financial year and projected performance of the 2009/10 academic year. The report includes LAA targets (2008-2011) and national indicators relevant to children and young people.

1.2 The report provides, where available, statistical neighbour and national performance. Unfortunately comparator data is not available for all indicators.

1.3 A RAG (Red/Amber/Green) status has been applied to all indicators where an end of year performance value or forecast is available. The threshold levels used for calculating an indicator's RAG status are individual to each indicator. They are based on Stockport's performance against comparator groups, national performance, previous year's performance and distance of travel required to meet the third year LAA target if applicable.

2. Highlights - Local Area Agreement and National Indicators

This section provides a summary of significant positive improvements during 2009/10.

2.1 Be Healthy

- Childhood obesity rates at both reception year and year 6 are expected to achieve their 2009/10 targets. Current performance of both year groups is strong compared with National and Statistical Neighbour averages. (Pages 9, 34)
- The percentage of children taking up school lunches has increased in 2009/10. Primary schools are performing just above the National and Statistical Neighbour averages whereas Secondary schools are significantly higher than comparator performance. (Page 39)
- The percentage of infants recorded as being breastfed at their 6 – 8 week check has improved this year with current performance significantly exceeding target. This is a consequence of targeted intervention as part of the LAA Performance Reward funding stream. (Page 40)
- Children and young people are more satisfied with the parks and play areas within the area than they were last year. Performance in 2009/10 showed a significant increase and was above National and Statistical Neighbour averages. Stockport is ranked 4th highest in the North West. (Page 41)

2.2 Stay Safe

- The percentage of children who have experienced bullying is lower than National and Statistical Neighbour averages. We are currently ranked 3rd lowest within our Statistical Neighbour group. (Page 51)
- Stockport's self assessment in relation to actions taken in respect of children missing from care and home is currently scored 13 out of a possible 15. This is a 4 point improvement compared with the end of 2008/09. (Page 11)
- The 2009/10 Stockport Survey showed an increase in the percentage of Stockport's residents who perceive parents take responsibility for the behaviour of their children. (Page 50)

- We have seen a significant increase in the stability of placements of children in care during 2009/10. An increased number of children are now within a stable placement for at least 2 years. (Page 47)
- Whilst we have ensured that all child protection cases are reviewed within timescale we have also significantly improved the number of children in care reviews within timescale with 99% being completed within timescale. There were only 3 children who did not receive a review within timescale. (Pages 47, 51)
- Although final year data is not yet available, we expect the number of hospital admissions for alcohol related harm to slightly decrease this year. This will be the first time we have seen a decrease in numbers since 2006/07. (Page 49)

2.3 Enjoy and Achieve

- Attainment performance at Foundation Stage across the borough and within Priority 1 areas continues to show excellent performance. Stockport's performance is higher than National and Statistical Neighbour averages. We anticipate achieving the third year LAA targets for both Foundation Stage performance measures. (Pages 21-22)
- We have made significant progress in reducing persistent absence within secondary schools. We are now one of the best performers within our statistical neighbour group and are performing below the National average. We have also seen a significant persistent absence reduction in the cluster of schools serving Priority 1 areas within Stockport. (Page 23-24)
- We anticipate exceeding the targets in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2 for children in care this year. (Pages 24-25)
- Schools are using the extra funding associated with Free School Meal eligibility to develop pupils basic skills as well as fund high quality teachers to support these pupils. Local Authority closing the gap consultants continue to support the development of improvement interventions with this cohort of pupils. (Pages 20, 26)
- Stockport currently has over 99% of schools providing access to the extended schools core offer. We expect performance to be at 100% by July 2010. (Page 57)
- We now have full Children's Centre coverage across the borough. By March 2010 all 19 Centres had been classified as designated and are now fully operational. (Page 63)
- All final statements of special education need (SEN) were issued within timescale during 2009/10. This the first time we have achieved this level of performance. (Page 62)

2.4 Positive Contribution

- The rate of proven re-offending by young offenders is expected to show a continued significant reduction. The final re-offending data is not available until July 2010 but the trend over the first 3 quarters of 2009/10 indicates a continued reduction. (Page 29)
- The percentage of young offenders who are engaged in employment, education or training and the percentage of young offenders in suitable accommodation have increased this year. Both performance measures will exceed National averages. (Pages 67, 69)

- We have seen a very significant reduction in the number of young people becoming first time entrants to the criminal justice system. This year will see a reduction of over 36% in comparison with 2008/09. (Page 70)
- More young people are participating in positive activities within Stockport. Our performance in 2009/10 was significantly higher than the National and Statistical Neighbour averages. Stockport was one of the best performing areas in the country. (Page 69)
- Latest available data shows the teenage conception rate in Stockport continually reducing. We are considerably below the LAA target however we have taken up Government Office North West's offer of excluding performance of this indicator against the LAA performance reward grant due to the unrealistic 2010 target around teenage conceptions. (Page 28)
- The first 2 terms of 2009/10 indicate a further reduction in permanent exclusions for the 2009/10 academic year. If we continue with the trend of the first 2 terms we can expect performance to be equivalent to the National average. This would be the first time Stockport has achieved this level of performance. (Page 64)
- Substance misuse by young people has reduced in Stockport. We have seen a significant reduction compared with 2008/09 and are now performing better than National and Statistical Neighbour averages. (Page 71)

2.5 Economic Wellbeing

- Latest data shows that more low-income families are taking up the childcare element of working tax credit within Stockport than the National and Statistical Neighbour averages. (Page 33)
- The percentage of care leavers in employment, education or training has increased significantly this year. This is an excellent outcome against the current economic background. (Page 79)
- The percentage of young people qualified to level 2 & 3 has increased this year and we are performing higher than the National average. Performance of pupils who were eligible for FSM has also improved and as a result the attainment gap has closed. (Pages 73-76)

3. Exceptions - Local Area Agreement and National Indicators

The following section identifies areas where performance is significantly off target, has significantly declined or where further concerted action is required.

3.1 Be Healthy

- Children and young people's emotional health has declined this year according to the results of the TellUs 4 survey. Our decline in performance followed the trend shown nationally. New energy is being put into improving emotional health of all children and young people and a range of interventions are planned for 2010/11. (Page 36)

3.2 Stay Safe

- During 2009 there was an increase in the number of children and young people killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents within Stockport. 2009 saw 12 children killed or seriously injured in Stockport compared with 10 in 2008. As a result of analysing these incidents targeted action will be aimed at secondary school aged children. (Page 42)

- The percentage of initial and core assessments for children's social care that were completed within timescale has declined this year and missed target although our current performance is above National and Statistical Neighbour averages. The main reason for this decline in performance is due to the increased case load social workers are experiencing. It is likely that this increase will have been felt across the country. Our performance cannot be accurately put into context until the 2009/10 National results are released later this year. (Page 42-43)
- Timeliness of placements of looked after children for adoption has declined significantly this year when compared to 2008/09. However, it should be noted that this year's target was always going to be difficult to meet as the cohort consisted of 2 large sibling groups. Regionally and nationally large sibling groups are the most difficult to place. Our performance in 2009/10 is in line with National performance of 2008/09. (Page 44)
- The percentage of child protection plans that last 2 years or longer increased this year. This increase is expected given the national coverage of child protection issues resulting in professionals making decisions in a more risk averse manner. (Page 44)
- We have also seen an increase in the number of children becoming subject of a child protection for a second or subsequent time. These cases are currently being analysed and the outcome of this analysis will form the basis for action throughout 2010/11. (Page 45)
- The percentage of referrals to children's social care that lead to an initial assessment has declined significantly this year. This continues to be a high focus for the social care management team and one reason for the decline in performance could be that referrers have lowered their thresholds for referral following the national coverage of child protection issues (Page 46)

3.3 Enjoy and Achieve

- It is a challenge year on year to meet the DCSF targets. Schools which were unlikely to meet their most demanding targets have been given a high level of support by the School Improvement Team and many have reported at the beginning of the Spring term that they are on track to meet target. This year there is an added complication; due to the significant disruption to this year's tests it is very difficult to judge whether the schools will take part in the tests or whether certain groups of schools are more likely to boycott. (Pages 12, 14, 18, 19, 25)
- There is an ongoing risk with the Key Stage 4 GCSE target as the target does not include Stockport Academy yet performance of the Academy is included within our actual result. There is also a risk to the Key Stage 4 attainment target for children in care due to the small size of the cohort and the disruption a number of children have experienced which has led to disengagement with their education. (Pages 13, 15)
- The percentage of secondary schools judged as having good or outstanding standards of behaviour continues to remain a priority as current performance is below national and statistical neighbour average. The impact of interventions in this area can take considerable time to come to fruition given the inspection schedule secondary schools are subject to. (Page 61)

3.4 Positive Contribution

- There has been significant reduction in the number of young people entering the youth justice system across the borough including our priority one areas. This reduction is not as marked in the priority 1 area where a large proportion of first time entrants live. (Page 27)

3.5 Economic Wellbeing





- Latest data shows that the gap between young people from low income backgrounds who progress to higher education and their peers has widened slightly. (Page 72)
- The NEET rate has increased borough wide and within P1 areas. We have also seen an increase in young people with learning difficulties and / or disabilities who are NEET. The Connexions service is working closely with JobcentrePlus to maximise employment / training / personal development opportunities available to young people 18/19 who become eligible for additional support under the Young Person's Guarantee which came into force in January 2010. Further information on activity can be found within the main body of this report. (Page 30-32)

Performance Summary by ECM Outcome






		<i>ECM Outcome</i>					Overall Total	
		Be Healthy	Stay Safe	Enjoy & Achieve	Positive Contribution	Economic Wellbeing	No	%
LAA	Red	0	0	2	2	3	7	25.9
	Amber	0	0	7	0	0	7	25.9
	Green	2	2	7	1	1	13	48.1
NI (non LAA)	Red	1	7	2	1	1	12	20.7
	Amber	1	3	1	3	4	12	20.7
	Green	9	4	13	5	3	34	58.6

This matrix includes indicators where performance is reported within the Q4 performance report. The RAG ratings are based on the latest available year-end values or forecasts where a RAG status has been provided.

CYP Q4 09/10 - Be Healthy - LAA (Academic)

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 56	Percentage of children in Year 6 with height and weight recorded who are obese	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	16.1%			16.9%	18.3%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	15.8%	15.8%			Not Available	Not Available
Note	<p>We anticipate achieving the 2009/10 target as shown by the 2009/10 forecast figure above.</p> <p>The Borough's Healthy Weight Management Strategy is now being disseminated to all agencies including Local Partnerships to implement the action plans. The Change4life website and resources are being actively promoted to schools and other children and young people settings. Parents are reporting that the information is very helpful in introducing and supporting changes to family lifestyles.</p> <p>Further publicity for the All Together Active (A2A) weight management service has been produced to support ongoing recruitment. The Mind, Exercise, Nutrition, Do It! (MEND) 8 week programme is running at Peel Moat. The Stay Active Stay Healthy (SASH) teaching resource has been disseminated to all schools.</p>							

CYP Q4 09/10 - Be Healthy - LAA (Financial)





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 53 Proxy	Prevalence of breast-feeding at initiation areas of deprivation	2008/09							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2008/09	54.1%			Not applicable	Not applicable		
		Quarter 4							
			Value						
		Q4 2009/10	55.4%						
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	54.1%	53.3%				Not applicable	Not applicable
		Note	<p>73.6% of women living in Stockport who gave birth initiated breastfeeding in 2009 / 2010. There are considerable variations in rates which can be clearly mapped against patterns of deprivation. 54.1% of those women living in the 20% most deprived areas initiated breastfeeding in 2009 / 2010. This equates to 320 out of 591 women. The target of 53.3% was achieved. This rate showed an increase between 2007 / 2008 and 2008 /2009 but this progress has not been continued into 2009 / 2010. In relation to maintenance of breastfeeding there is evidence that the gap is growing between deprived and non-deprived areas. In order to address this it is important that more focus is given to increasing initiation rates in Stockport's more deprived areas.</p> <p>Decisions about whether or not to breastfeed are determined by a variety of social factors including behaviour and attitudes of family members, peers and more widely the community. In some of Stockport's most deprived areas it is clear that there is a bottle feeding culture.</p> <p>These variations demonstrate the need more focussed work to promote attitudinal change, influence decisions making about breastfeeding and support those women who have some motivation to initiate breastfeeding. Stockport has a well developed peer support network. More training of peer supporters will take place shortly. A group is currently developing an action plan for developing peer support in priority 1 areas. Part of the work of this group will be to consider how peer supporters can be used as positive role models in the community in order to influence attitudes towards breastfeeding. It is acknowledged that much further work needs to be done to improve breastfeeding initiation and maintenance rates in Stockport's more deprived areas and that the strategy will need to refocus and develop a clear multi-agency action plan in this area.</p>						





CYP Q4 09/10 - Stay Safe - LAA (Financial)





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 62 BV49	Percentage of children looked after with 3 or more placements during the year	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	10.2%			11.7%	10.7%	
		Quarter 4						
			Value					
		Q4 2009/10	10.7%					
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	10.7%	12.5%				Not available	Not available	
Note	<p>34 Looked After Children out of 317 [10.7%] had 3 or more placements during the year. Therefore, we were able to reduce the number of placement moves from our expected target of 12.5%. Given the denominator, this indicator was 6 placement changes under target. Please note that these figures are provisional, and may change subject to the submission of the SSDA903 statutory return.</p> <p>This reduction was achieved through early identification of children at risk of three placement moves and subsequent good care planning thereafter. A multi agency workshop focused upon the risk and trends affecting placement moves to improve future care planning. There was also close liaison with the fostering service and the Safeguarding Reviewing Officers regarding placement disruptions and the quality of provision. Furthermore, we were successful in securing the provision of two social work posts specifically funded to improve placement stability outcomes. The social workers will join the service this summer.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 71	Children who have run away from home/care overnight - self assessment against planning and provision (on scale of 0-15)	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	9			6	7	
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	13	12				9	10	
Note	<p>Our score of 13 reflects our position as at the 30th April 2010. This self assessment in relation to actions taken in respect of children missing from care and home is out of a total score of 15. The self assessment has been made by the missing from care group against a set of criteria laid down by Government and as such it is above the target. The indicator becomes a statutory one (NI71) on the 1st April 2011 and we are on target to be able to meet all the criteria in order to meet it's requirements.</p>							





CYP Q4 09/10 - Enjoy & Achieve - LAA (Academic)





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 73	Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2	2008/9					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	77.2%			75.5%	72.0%
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	77.0%	81.0%			Not available	Not available	
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, 2426 out of 3151 pupils achieved Level 4+ in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2 (KS2). To achieve the target of 79%, 64 more pupils would need to achieve Level 4+ in both English and Maths at KS2.</p> <p>The Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) prescribe a very complex and challenging target setting process. School Improvement Partners encourage schools to set targets in the top quartile of their benchmark group. Local Authorities are restricted to setting targets that are higher than the sum of all schools targets and also higher than previous year's performance. The targets submitted are then reviewed by the DCSF who may apply further challenge based on the National expectation on increasing test results. Schools which were likely to not meet their most demanding targets have been given a high level of support by the School Improvement Team and many have reported at the beginning of the Spring term that they are on track to meet target.</p> <p>This year there is an added complication; due to the significant disruption to this year's tests it is very difficult to judge whether the schools will take part in the tests or whether certain groups of schools are more likely to boycott.</p> <p>The activities in place to support school improvement are having a positive impact on the progress of pupils. Schools that are below floor target in 2008/09 have been part of the sustaining school programme which has focussed school leaders on the analysis of performance in each year group and the necessary interventions to improve the progress of those who are or are likely to fall behind challenging targets. Schools have reviewed their intervention maps and have strengthened interventions at all keys stages. The School Improvement Team in conjunction with the Learning Support Service has supported schools in developing high quality interventions for groups and individuals. The School Improvement Advisers/School Improvement Partners have challenged schools to set targets in the top 25% of expectations compared to national outcomes and the majority of schools are reporting they are on track to achieve these in the coming year.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 101	Percentage of children in care achieving 5 A*-C GCSEs KS4 (inc English and Maths)	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend			
		2008/09	19.2%					
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	13.0%	18.9%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>The number of young people in Year 10 who were identified as having the potential to achieve 5 GCSE's A*-C with English and Maths have either left care or experienced severe disruption (care and school) which has led to non engagement with their education. 5 pupils out of a cohort of 40 pupils are predicted to achieve 5 GCSE's A* - C with English and Maths.</p> <p>Clearly given the small size of this population, one or two young people who do not meet their targets can make a big difference to the overall outturn. Also, at this point it is unclear who will be eligible to be included in the calculation by virtue of their length of time in care.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 73 Proxy	% of pupils from Priority 1 deprived areas achieving challenging Fischer Family Trust (FFT) D level estimates at level 4 or above in both English & Maths	2008/9						
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend	
		2008/09	57.0%					
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	55.0%	56.0%			Not applicable	Not applicable
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>During 2008/09, 135 out of 237 pupils achieved Level 4+ in both English and Maths at Key Stage (KS)2. To achieve the target of 60%, 8 more pupils would have needed to achieve Level 4+ in both English and Maths at KS2.</p> <p>All schools receive analysis to identify children from Priority 1 areas in the Autumn term and which identifies levels of performance. The schools are encouraged to track individual performance and ensure high aspirations by looking to set individual targets in the top quartile of similar schools nationally. Aggregated targets are reported back to the Local Authority in December each year. Schools work with their advisers to identify and remove barriers to achievement.</p> <p>We are focussing on school support to ensure improved progress through the development of Assessing Pupil Progress, Assessment for learning and high quality interventions at key stage one and key stage two. We are also supporting school leaders through the sustaining school programme which focuses on making good progress.</p> <p>Recent analysis suggests schools are on track to meet these challenging targets for 2010. The Closing the gap consultants have further analysed the schools' data to support more effective targeting for the needs of the priority one area pupils.</p> <p>The schools in this area have been allocated a significantly larger proportion of funding to support intervention programmes particularly to develop basic skills and to develop high quality teaching. The Local Authority consultants continue to work very closely with the school teachers to support quality teaching. The majority of schools in this area are in the sustaining school programme which requires the school to monitor progress of pupils termly. At these meetings the Local Authority is represented to challenge schools and broker further support where necessary.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 75	Percentage of pupils achieving 5 or more A* - C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	55.2%			55.6%	49.8%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	56.0%	60.2%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, 1680 out of 3043 pupils achieved 5 or more A*-C's at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths. To achieve the target of 56.7%, 46 more pupils needed to achieve 5 or more A*-C's at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths.</p> <p>At present, not all schools have completed year 11 mock examinations but data for November early entry for English and Maths across a significant proportion of the schools is either inline or above anticipated targets for 2010 resulting in a significant number of pupils already having achieved 3 or more grades at C or above before the Summer examinations. Stockport's performance for 2009 falls in the top quartile based on the National Strategies Performance Matrix.</p> <p>School based interventions in relation to English and Maths include realignment of tutor groups to better match learner needs. The majority of schools have now run booster sessions which include additional revision in preparation for the Summer GCSE examinations. These booster sessions took place over the Easter holidays and will continue through June 2010 including the Whit half term, prioritising English and Maths through to Whit and other subjects after.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 75 proxy	% of pupils from Priority 1 deprived areas achieving challenging FFT D estimates at 5 or more GCSEs A*-C incl English and Maths	2008/9				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	
		2008/09	21.6%			
		2009/10 Forecast				
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend
		2009/10	30.0%	31.0%		
Note	The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.					
	During 2008/09 43 out of 199 pupils from Priority 1 (P1) areas achieved challenging Fischer Family Trust (FFT) estimates at 5 or more GCSEs A*-C including English and Maths. To achieve the target of 31%, 19 more pupils from P1 areas would have needed to achieve challenging FFT D estimates at 5 or more GCSEs A*-C including English and Maths					
	The 2009/10 target is challenging but reflects the local authorities (LA) and schools' desire to improve outcomes in Priority 1 areas. The LA's appointment of two Narrowing the Gap Advisers is aimed at supporting the outcomes pupils in the most deprived areas. The creation of a school audit toolkit is supporting better identification and more robust tracking of the relevant pupils. This includes closer monitoring of Free School Meals pupils and other groups of pupils.					
	The work of the Brinnington Project is ongoing in supporting this indicator which focuses on the four Secondary Schools (Werneth, Offerton, Reddish Vale Technology College and Harrytown) attended by the majority of pupils living in Brinnington. Mathematics and English revision days have been organised for the end of April 2010 involving GCSE examiners. Identified Year 11 pupils are involved in one-to-one tuition funded by the Greater Manchester Challenge. The Brinnington Project is also funding revision guides for English and Mathematics.					





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 92	The gap between the median Foundation Stage Profile score of all children locally and the mean score of the lowest achieving 20% of children locally, as a percentage of the median score of all children locally	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	30.7%			31.3%	33.9%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	28.6%	27.4%			Not available	Not available
Note	The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.							
	During 2008/09, the gap between the median Foundation Stage Profile score of all children locally and the mean score of the lowest achieving 20% of children locally is 30.7%. To achieve the target of 28.5%, the mean score of the current lowest achieving 20% of children needs to increase to 65.1% from 63.1%.							
	We have continued to implement the plans and actions identified towards narrowing the gap of this challenging Public Service Agreement (PSA) Target through working with schools, settings, and relevant multi agency partners. A half-day conference has been delivered to support practitioners implement appropriate strategies to personalise learning for children including those vulnerable to poor outcomes.							
	Additional visits to schools by Early Years Foundation Stage Consultants identified through School Improvement Partners is ongoing to ensure vulnerable groups are making good progress towards the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) relative to their starting points							
	We anticipate we will continue to close the gap towards meeting this very challenging target in July 2010. However EYFSP data from all schools and the breakdown of performance on the range of groups of children vulnerable to poor EYFSP outcomes in the Local Authority will not be available until mid July when we will revise this prediction regarding the actuality of meeting this target.							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 93	Percentage of pupils progressing by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	86.3%			83.6%	82.0%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	85.0%	88.0%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, 2606 out of 3020 pupils progressed by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 1 (KS1) and Key Stage 2 (KS2). To achieve the target of 87%, 24 more pupils needed to have progressed by 2 levels in English between KS1 and KS2.</p> <p>Performance shows a slight decline in comparison with last year although we are still ahead of the National average. The progress for English is 18th nationally and in the top quartile. We are third compared to statistical neighbours and our trend of improvement is above that nationally. For the first time the results were in line with the higher comparative national estimate. The Local Area Agreement target for 2009/10 is likely to be met as we are focussing on school support to ensure improved progress through the development of Assessing Pupil Progress, Assessment For Learning and high quality interventions at key stage one and key stage two. We are also supporting school leaders through the sustaining school programme which focuses on making good progress.</p> <p>The activities in place to support school improvement are having a positive impact on the progress of pupils. Schools that are below floor target in 2008/09 have been part of the sustaining school programme which has focussed school leaders on the analysis of performance in each year group and the necessary interventions to improve the progress of those who are or are likely to fall behind challenging targets. Schools have reviewed their intervention maps and have strengthened interventions at all keys stages. The School Improvement Team in conjunction with the Learning Support Service has supported schools in developing high quality interventions for groups and individuals. The School Improvement Advisers/School Improvement Partners have challenged schools to set targets in the top 25% of expectations compared to national outcomes and the majority of schools are reporting they are on track to achieve these in the coming year.</p> <p>The schools in this area have been allocated a significantly larger proportion of funding to support intervention programmes particularly to develop basic skills and to develop high quality teaching. The Local Authority consultants continue to work very closely with the school teachers to support quality teaching. The majority of schools in this area are in the Sustaining school programme which requires the school to monitor progress of pupils termly. At these meetings the Local Authority is represented to challenge schools and broker further support where necessary.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 94	Percentage of pupils progressing by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	84.4%			82.8%	81.0%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	83.0%	87.0%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, 2565 out of 3039 pupils progressed by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2. To achieve the target of 85%, 20 more pupils would need to have progressed by 2 levels in Maths between KS1 and KS2.</p> <p>The number of pupils achieving two levels progress has increased by 2% and the trend continues to rise. The progress is 3% above the national average. The progress is in quartile B nationally. We are fourth within our statistical neighbours.</p> <p>The activities in place to support school improvement are having a positive impact on the progress of pupils. Schools that are below floor target in 2008/09 have been part of the sustaining school programme which has focussed school leaders on the analysis of performance in each year group and the necessary interventions to improve the progress of those who are or are likely to fall behind challenging targets. Schools have reviewed their intervention maps and have strengthened interventions at all key stages. The School Improvement Team in conjunction with Learning Support Service has supported schools in developing high quality interventions for groups and individuals. The School Improvement Advisers/School Improvement Partners have challenged schools to set targets in the top 25% of expectations compared to national outcomes and the majority of schools are reporting they are on track to achieve these in the coming year.</p> <p>The schools in this area have been allocated a significantly larger proportion of funding to support intervention programmes particularly to develop basic skills and to develop high quality teaching. The Local Authority consultants continue to work very closely with the school teachers to support quality teaching. The majority of schools in this area are in the sustaining school programme which requires the school to monitor progress of pupils termly. At these meetings the Local Authority is represented to challenge schools and broker further support where necessary.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 102b	Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at Key Stages 2 and 4 - Part 2 Key Stage 4	2008/9					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	35.6%			33.0%	27.8%
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	
		2009/10	32.0%	31.5%			
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, the achievement gap between pupils eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) and their peers at Key Stage 4 (KS4) was 35.6%. To achieve the target of 32.5%, 13 more pupils eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) would need to have achieved 5 A*-C grades or equivalent including English and Maths at KS4</p> <p>Analysis has considered pupil threshold performance linked to postcode as well as expected levels of progress. The data interpretation will be used to better identify pupils with FSM eligibility and determine appropriate intervention strategies both in mainstream classrooms as part of quality first teaching and through additional support such as one to one tuition.</p> <p>The Local Authorities appointment of two Narrowing the Gap Advisers is aimed at supporting the outcomes pupils in the most deprived areas. The creation of a school audit toolkit is supporting better identification and more robust tracking of the relevant pupils. This includes closer monitoring of FSM and other groups of pupils. Their ongoing work has provided additional guidance for schools in the identification of FSM pupils and strategies to support enhanced pupil progress.</p> <p>Although the gap opened up in 2009, schools are more aware of the FSM/non FSM gap than previously and are consequently working on developing strategies to improve this.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 72	Percentage of pupils achieving at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal, Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	57.0%			55.8%	52.0%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	60.9%	60.9%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>During 2008/09 1810 out of 3175 pupils achieved at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal, Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy. Performance exceeded the target of 55.5% by 48 pupils.</p> <p>2008/09 performance is above both National and Statistical Neighbour averages.</p> <p>Through the Early Years Improvement business plan we continue to focus on implementing activities identified through research as being effective in raising standards and improving outcomes. We are continuing to embed both accurate tracking of children's progress across maintained, private, voluntary and independent (PVI) settings to ensure children's next steps for learning across all Early Years Foundation Stage areas of learning is planned, embedded and effectively supported by practitioners.</p> <p>We have identified, in line with national advice from the Department for Children, Schools and Families monitoring agencies, a more particular need to focus on the universal needs of reception year classes in schools during 2009/10 and are continuing to implement our Continuous Professional Development (CPD) programme alongside targeted visits to schools and settings to support achievement of challenging 2010 Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) targets in the Summer 2010.</p> <p>These strategies will support us to improve the threshold and raise standards for all children measured from our 2009 EYFSP results.</p> <p>We anticipate that we will meet our target for this indicator however given the complexity of the assessment of EYFSP and that full data for all schools will not be available to the Local Authority for quality assurance purposes until mid July 2010 we will update this prediction in July on receipt of data for all schools</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 72 Proxy	Early Years Foundation Stage Profile attainment of children in Brinnington Children Centre Area	2008/9				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	
		2008/09	42.0%			
		2009/10 Forecast				
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend
	2009/10	40.0%	40.0%			
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>During 2008/09, 54 out of 130 pupils achieved at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal, Social and Emotional Development (PSED) and Communication, Language, Literacy Development (CLLD) in Brinnington Children's Centre reach area. Performance exceeded the target of 37% by 6 pupils.</p> <p>We have continued to implement our targeted programmes for CLLD and PSED in the Brinnington reach area alongside support for Early Years Foundation Stage implementation. An Early Years Foundation Stage Consultant has worked along with the CLLD Consultant to support schools and nurseries implement recommended phonic programmes to secure pace and progression in early reading and writing.</p> <p>From April 2010, the Local Authority (LA) will be part of wave 3, Every Child a Talker (ECAT) Programme. We will begin to dovetail ECAT and CLLD programmes to secure further progress although the impact will not be measured until 2011 Early Year Foundation Stage Profile results.</p> <p>We anticipate meeting the target for this indicator but will revise predictions if necessary mid July when all EYFSP is received into the Local Authority following quality assurance processes.</p>					

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 87	Percentage of persistent absentees in secondary schools	2008/9						
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend	
		2008/09	4.7%					
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	4.5%	5.6%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>2009/10 performance is a forecast figure.</p> <p>Stockport has made outstanding progress in reducing Persistent Absence (PA). Final data for 2008/09 shows PA at 4.7% against a target of 6.3% and a national average of 5.6%. The Local Authority is now among the best performers in its statistical neighbour group.</p> <p>Report from National Strategies Regional Behaviour and Attendance Adviser to Attendance Leaders at their Spring 2010 meeting.</p> <p>Cheadle local partnership's 'Improving Attendance' sub group is currently looking at localised data and scoping to produce an action plan to enhance a multi agency response in Cheadle to improving attendance. The sub group made a decision to include primary school focus as well as high schools in order to support the prevention agenda. Practice from the this sub group will be shared across the other 5 local partnerships in order to promote borough wide consistency of approach</p> <p>The local partnerships recently brokered a pilot intervention from Greater Manchester Fire and Rescue Service. Firefly, a week long intensive intervention, is aimed at young people with behavioural and attendance issues (pre-NEET) and focuses on team building and breaking down barriers to learning. Local partnership managers are actively seeking funding for two further courses to be delivered to priority young people. The brokerage of further courses will include schools serving the most deprived pupils. Ten young people from the Cheadle Cluster Secondary Schools attended the pilot in October 2009; all completed the course and subsequent 'passing out parade'. An evaluation of the pilot is now complete which includes detailed follow up discussions within families and signposting to ongoing family support.</p> <p>In light of the recent decision at the Children's Trust Board (in relation to the review of the Local Partnerships), any potential transition for this piece of work will be discussed during the May Local Partnership meeting for Cheadle.</p>							






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 87 proxy	Percentage of persistent absentees in the cluster of schools serving the most deprived secondary pupils in Stockport	2008/9					
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend
		2008/09	6.7%				
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
	2009/10	6.0%	7.2%			Not applicable	Not applicable
Note	<p>2009/10 performance is a forecast figure.</p> <p>Autumn Term 2009 data shows that all 4 secondary schools identified for this proxy indicator are showing a reduction in levels of persistent absenteeism (PA) compared with Autumn 2009. This is reflected both in terms of those pupils who have already reached the PA threshold and those who are deemed to be "on track".</p> <p>Schools continue to report good progress towards achieving their individual targets regarding Persistent Absenteeism and improving overall attendance. All schools are committed to using TARGET software programme (training scheduled for summer term 2010) to enhance presentation and interpretation of real time data. Additional Education Welfare support, via Greater Manchester City Challenge "Brinnington Project" funding, is in place.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 99	Percentage of children in care reaching level 4 in English at Key Stage 2	2008/9					
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend
		2008/09	46.2%				
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
	2009/10	59.0%	58.3%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>We anticipate that the target for 2009/10 of 58.3% will be met.</p> <p>This year's Key Stage 2 (Year 6) cohort is much stronger than last year's in terms of ability and Special Educational Need profile. There has also been more targeted support by way of Personal Education Allowances (PEA) and one to one tuition</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 100	Percentage of children in care reaching level 4 in mathematics at Key Stage 2	2008/9						
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend	
		2008/09	53.8%					
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	65.0%	41.7%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>We anticipate that the target for 2009/10 of 41.7% will be met.</p> <p>This year's Key Stage 2 (Year 6) cohort is much stronger than last year's in terms of ability and Special Educational Need profile. There has also been more targeted support by way of Personal Education Allowance (PEA) and one to one tuition. In addition, there has been a change in the composition of the cohort in that since setting the target 18 months ago, some young people have left care and others have entered care with stronger mathematical ability.</p>							

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 102a	Percentage gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at Key Stage 2	2008/9						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	30.3%			25.4%	22.3%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	26.0%	27.0%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, the achievement gap between pupils eligible for Free School Meals and their peers at Key Stage 2 (KS2) was 30.3%. To achieve the target of 27%, 13 more pupils eligible for FSM would need to have achieved Level 4 in English & Maths at KS2.</p> <p>The activities in place to support school improvement are having a positive impact on the progress of pupils. Schools that are below floor target in 2008/09 have been part of the sustaining school programme which has focussed school leaders on the analysis of performance in each year group and the necessary interventions to improve the progress of those who are or are likely to fall behind challenging targets. Schools have reviewed their intervention maps and have strengthened interventions at all keys stages. The School Improvement Team in conjunction with Learning Support Service has supported schools in developing high quality interventions for groups and individuals. The School Improvement Advisers/School Improvement Partners have challenged schools to set targets in the top 25% of expectations compared to national outcomes and the majority of schools are reporting they are on track to achieve these in the coming year.</p> <p>The schools in this area have been allocated a significantly larger proportion of funding to support intervention programmes particularly to develop basic skills and to develop high quality teaching. The Local Authority consultants continue to work very closely with the school teachers to support quality teaching. The majority of schools in this area are in the Sustaining school programme which requires the school to monitor progress of pupils termly. At these meetings the Local Authority is represented to challenge schools and broker further support where necessary.</p>							






CYP Q4 09/10 - Positive Contribution - LAA (Financial)






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 111 Proxy	Proportion of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System from Priority 1 areas	2008/09							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2008/09	14.8%			Not applicable	Not applicable		
		Quarter 4							
			Value						
		Q4 2009/10	20%						
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	18.1%	14%				Not applicable	Not applicable
		Note	<p>NI 111 proxy measures the number of young people who become first time entrants (FTE) into the youth justice system (YJS) from Priority 1 (P1) areas. The target for this proxy measure is that we have 14% of young people who are FTE coming into the YJS from priority area.</p> <p>As the overall numbers of FTE are decreasing quarter on quarter and performance for NI 111 itself is excellent - with a 36% reduction in FTE from 2008/09 to 2009/10 this proxy target remains extremely challenging.</p> <p>In 2009/10 there were 215 FTE and of these 39 came from Priority 1 areas - giving us a ratio of 18.1% of all FTE coming from P1 areas. This is 4.1% above the target and a significant increase on the previous years performance of 14.8%.</p> <p>One factor that appears to have impacted on this performance is that the majority of the FTE are receiving disposals at an older age than the current focus for the prevention team, particularly the Junior Youth Inclusion Programme (YIP) in Brinnington which works with young people aged 8-13. Therefore the Prevention Manager is looking at how to increase referrals to the service for the older age range in P1 areas.</p> <p>Other work around P1 areas is the development of closer links with the Children's Trust Local Partnership areas, and Neighbourhood Policing Teams and the identification of named workers for each of the P1 areas to ensure that their young people requiring prevention services are referred to the service for appropriate interventions</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 112 BV197	Percentage reduction in under 18 conception rate (from 1998 baseline)	2007							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2007	-10.2%			-10.7%	-10.7%		
		2008							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008	-16.5%	-26.0%				Not available	-13.3%
Note	<p>- The Q4 2008 under 18s conception data shows a conception rate of 36.4/1000 for Stockport - The 4th Quarter rate was 36.3/1000 - The rate shows an overall reduction of 16.5% since the 1998 baseline year - The 16.5% reduction is the second highest reduction of all the Greater Manchester authorities - The data shows that there were 201 conceptions in 2008 compared to 2007 when there were 221 conceptions which is a significant reduction in one year. - The conception target for 2008 was 33.8 a 22% reduction from 1998 baseline - The Stockport rate of 36.4 is below the England average which is 40.7 and is well below the North West average of 46.0</p> <p>Programme Update</p> <p>1. The Integrated Team around the Child in Schools and Colleges Programme is continuing to develop and integrated health offer initially to four phase one high schools. Links to the new Neighbourhood Management Board are being developed. The new programme is developing with support from Workforce Development and Strategy and Performance. The Drugs and Alcohol worker has been recruited. The School Nurse Service level agreement is now agreed and recruitment is to begin straight away to offer an additional day and a half School Nurse support including drop in health clinics to each of the four schools. Tactical partnership meetings are being established in each school to plan the care pathways and how the core team will work most effectively in each school.</p> <p>2. Recruitment has been successful a Project Facilitator for the self esteem and attainment programme called Girls Allowed is to start 4th May 2010. The Girls Allowed programme is working closely with the Brinnington Educational Partnership Programme. The first pilot programme for year 9 girls from Brinnington is to begin in June 2010. Possible venues are being explored.</p> <p>3. A Steering Group for the Development of outreach services to the colleges is in place. Generic Health clinics have begun at Aquinas and Cheadle colleges and a further clinic is to be launched at Marple College. Promotion of the new clinics is through a joint programme with Stockport County who are planning an inter college 5 a side football tournament.</p> <p>4. Programme evaluation agreed with PHD Student to be recruited through Chester University. Scope for research for Girls allowed is to be agreed. Scope for Phase one schools research is being developed.</p> <p>5. A plan is in place for implementation of You're Welcome Standard for the development of the new clinics to ensure quality assurance.</p>								






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 19	Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders	2008/09				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average
		2008/09	1.24			1.03
		Quarter 3				
			Value			
		Q3 2009/10	0.81			
		2009/10 Forecast				
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend
2009/10	1.0	1.41				
Note	<p>NI 19 measures the re-offending rate of a cohort of young offenders from January-March 2009 compared to the baseline cohort of January-March 2005. This cohort are tracked to see if they re-offend within a 12 month period to give an overall re-offending rate. The data is reported one quarter behind with the final year's performance being confirmed in July 2010.</p> <p>From the cohort of 144 young people, 44 have re-offended in the first three quarters, committing 117 further offences.</p> <p>Therefore the re-offending rate is 0.81 new offences per young offender. Whilst it is very difficult to predict the final outcome for the full 12 months at this stage the re-offending rate is lower than the previous year at quarter 3 (Q3 2008/09: 1.05; Q3 2009/10: 0.81). This means we are on track for our target of 1.41, performance should well exceed this. The end of year forecast has been amended accordingly to: 1.00.</p> <p>Positive progress in the Youth Offending Service's (YOS) contribution to the Spotlight Team (Integrated Offender Management unit) and the IRS scheme (Integrated Resettlement Support) are now clearly impacting on the re-offending cohort. Additional monitoring of the next re-offending cohort for Jan-March 2010 are being implemented by the Youth Offending Service (YOS) management team to include regular meetings with case managers to ensure that all necessary actions are being taken to reduce the likelihood of re-offending</p>					

CYP Q4 09/10 - Economic Wellbeing - LAA (Financial)





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 117 RT4c	Percentage of 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET)	2008/09							
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	7.1%					6.2%	6.7%
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status		Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	7.5%	5.4%					Not available	Not available	
Note	<p>2009/10 performance (November 2009 - January 2010) adjusted figure is 7.5%.</p> <p>Local Client Caseload Information System (CCIS) shows young people aged 16-18 reported as being Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET) numbered 745 in March 2010, compared with 815 in March 2009, a decrease of 70. Although a relatively small drop this is nevertheless significant in that there is normally an increase in the NEET numbers in March. This reversal in the pattern reflects, in part, the impact of the new hospitality/catering apprenticeship offerings that were launched in January as well as intensive work to deliver the January Guarantee.</p> <p>Aged 16 NEET reported = 6.0% of the age group ; Aged 17 NEET reported = 6.9% of the age group ; Aged 18 NEET reported = 10.6% of the age group</p> <p>16-18 year olds in Education or Training: 82.9% of 16-18 year olds were reported as being "in learning" in March 2010 compared with 80.2% in March 2009.</p> <p>January Guarantee for 16 and 17 year olds:</p> <p>In December 2009 the Government announced that all statutory age school leavers of 2008 and 2009 who are NEET on 31st Dec 2009 or become NEET in January 2010 will be guaranteed the offer of a place on an Entry to Employment (E2E) Programme with full Education Maintenance Allowance (£30 per week) entitlement. Connexions CCIS database identified 410 young people from Year 11 2008 who were eligible for the Guarantee and 250 young people from Year 11 2009 who were eligible, giving a total of 660 young people. Please note the definition includes a significant proportion of 18 year old NEET (many of whom are in receipt of Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and moving towards eligibility under the Government's Young Person's Guarantee (aged 18-24 and in receipt of JSA for 6 months) introduced in January 2010.</p> <p>Of the 660 young people eligible for the January Guarantee offer, 345 young people were recorded as "offer of education or training not appropriate at this time". This group comprised:</p> <p>93 young people for whom a job or training place had already been arranged; 35 young people who were actively engaged in Personal Development Opportunities; 102 young people whose personal circumstances prevented the take up of an offer; 115 who for "other reasons" were not covered by the entitlement.</p> <p>Of the balance of 315 young people "entitled" to receive an offer, the latest information indicates that:</p> <p>234 young people have received an offer under the terms of the January 2010 Guarantee; 49 young people have made applications and are awaiting outcomes.</p> <p>Of the remaining 32 young people, there was no appropriate provision for 3; it had not been possible to contact 10; and 19 had not applied for learning.</p> <p>Opportunities Fair:</p> <p>A key contribution to achieving this success involved all eligible young people being invited to an "Opportunities Fair" on 23rd Feb – organised by Services for Young People (SfYP) Connexions in partnership with Stockport Boost; Stockport Council and Job Centre Plus where 25 providers of employment; training and personal development opportunities were in attendance. Over 200 young people attended, 70% of whom made applications as a result of attending the event.</p>								





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 117 Proxy 1	Percentage of young people with learning difficulties and / or disabilities who are not in employment, education or training (NEET)	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	19.1%			Not applicable	Not applicable	
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	25.2%	14.0%				Not applicable	Not applicable	
Note	<p>2009/10 performance is taken as a snapshot as at the end of December 2009 and shows the percentage of young people with Learning Difficulties or Disabilities (LDD) who are Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET) as 25.2%.</p> <p>It is difficult to make direct year-on-year comparisons as there has been a significant reduction in the proportion of young people aged 16-19 whose destination is reported as 'not known'. This improvement in tracking of 18-19 year olds has resulted in a wider coverage of 16-19 destinations and resulted in a disproportionate number of young people who are NEET rather than EET.</p> <p>Connexions is working closely with JobcentrePlus to maximise employment / training / personal development opportunities available to young people 18/19 who become eligible for additional support under the Young Person's Guarantee which came into force in January 2010.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 117 Proxy 2	Percentage of young people in Priority 1 areas who are not in education, employment of training (NEET)	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	19.3%			Not applicable	Not applicable
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	22.6%	18.4%				Not applicable	Not applicable
Note	<p>2009/10 performance figure of 22.6% is based on the November 2009 - January 2010 unadjusted local figures.</p> <p>A longitudinal study has identified movement, at Ward level, in the proportion of young people aged 16-18 in the ward who are reported as Not in Education, Employment and Training (NEET) in April 2010 using April 2007 as the baseline. There are reductions in Brinnington & Central (from 22.1% to 20%) and Davenport & Cale Green (from 12.9% to 11.9%) with increases in Reddish North (from 8.0% to 10.8%); Edgeley and Cheadle Heath (from 8.6% to 10.3%) and Offerton (from 7.4% to 9.9%).</p> <p>Brinnington & Reddish Local Partnership: Multi agency planning meeting due April 2010 to progress work on 'Engaging Boys & Young Men.' This will consider how best to adapt or develop services to address unmet need for this group. A self esteem programme similar to that being planned for young women will be considered.</p>						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 118	Take up of formal childcare by low-income working families	2006/07							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2006/07	22.1%			21.0%	16.9%		
		2007/08							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	22.9%	21.8%				Not available	17.8%
Note	<p>Latest performance shows that the percentage of low income families taking the formal childcare element of working tax credit had increased to 22.9%. The national average is 17.8% resulting in a 1.1% buffer compared with the Local Area Agreement target.</p> <p>2008/09 performance is expected to be available on the Data Interchange Hub by the end of May 2010.</p> <p>We now have 19 Children's Centre's that are operational across Stockport. In March 2010, fifteen of our Children's Centre's achieved the key milestone of delivering the Full Core Offer. The other four of our Children's Centres were designated in March 2010, which is the point at which a Children's Centre becomes operational. This ensures coverage across the whole borough and will increase the amount of advice support and guidance we can provide to parents/carers about childcare.</p> <p>We are also in the process of reviewing our Childcare Sufficiency Assessment. We will have a new assessment of childcare for the borough in place by April 2011. This will ensure we are aware of where there is sufficient childcare in the borough and where there are gaps. This assessment will also highlight the quality of our childcare across the borough and where it must improve. This will greatly assist in our strategic planning in ensuring we have sufficient high quality childcare across the borough.</p>								






CYP Q4 09/10 - Be Healthy - Non-LAA (Academic)






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 55	Percentage of children in reception with height and weight recorded who are obese	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	6.1%			8.5%	9.6%	
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	7.9%	7.9%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>We anticipate achieving the 2009/10 target as shown by the 2009/10 forecast figure above.</p> <p>The Early Years sub group has now been established and links are being made with the recently published Healthy Child programme. This work stream is also incorporating the physical activity programme for early years. A range of new initiatives have been developed including the launch of the Stockport Healthy Early Years setting audit in Stockport nursery schools, and the multi agency initiative in Marple & Werneth Local Partnership with the Primary Care Trust (PCT) delivering additional 'Happy Healthy Toddlers Club' courses (November 2009 to March 2010).</p> <p>Initial evaluation from parents and professionals is very positive. Resources have also been provided to improve access to 'Dietary Advice Direct' programme, which the Primary Care Trust (PCT) will lead on through the Health Visitors as part of the core team around Children's Centres. In Cheadle and Marple cluster there is active promotion of the Change 4 Life national initiative with colleagues in Children's Centres to ensure that 100% of children and families accessing the service are registered with Change4Life as part of their structured induction process.</p>							






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 57	Children and young people's participation in high-quality PE and sport	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	79%			82%	81%
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	
	2009/10	79%	79%				
Note	<p>2009/10 figure is a forecast value and we anticipate exceeding this target.</p> <p>Targeted advocacy work continues to be focused on those schools that do not currently offer at least 2 hours of physical education each week to all pupils within curriculum time. This includes targeted work with secondary headteachers, as the majority of KS4 pupils (14 - 16 year olds) currently receive provision less than 2 hours per week. The impact of this work will not be evident until schools' timetabling provision for the next academic year 10/11 is known. In addition to advocating an increase the quantity of provision, all schools continue to receive specific support to progress the quality of the provision to further improve learner outcomes.</p>						




PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data			
NI 198a(i)	Percentage of Children aged 5 -10 years travelling to school by car, taxi or van	2008/09			
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2008/09	27.8%		
		2009/10			
			Value	Target	Status
	2009/10	27.4%	27.4%		
Note	<p>School travel advisors within the road safety team continue to work with partners to encourage parents and children to walk or cycle to school. Our Bike It Officer (jointly funded by Sustrans and the council) has recently supported Brookside School in Sustrans' Virtual Bike Race, where the cycle mileage completed by all pupils during March was totalled and compared to other schools. Brookside came third nationally with a total of over 9,000 km. We also have a record number of schools (55) registering to take part in this year's Bike and Scoot week event, which is very encouraging.</p>				

CYP Q4 09/10 - Be Healthy - Non-LAA (Financial)




PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 50	Emotional health of children	2008/09							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2008/09	63.2%			62.4%	62.6%		
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	57.7%	63.4%				56.6%	56.0%
Note	<p>Performance target for 2009/10 was missed largely due to the target being set in the absence of trend data as this was the first year of the Tellus survey in its current format. Putting this downward trend into more context our performance can be seen more favourably when we look at the national performance figure of 56% which declined from 62.6%. Our statistical neighbours performance was 56.6% which declined from 62.6%.</p> <p>This year we have added new energy into improving the emotional wellbeing of all children and young people in stockport. In the light of New Horizons, the new policy framework for Mental Health in England, which strongly advocates actions not just to continue to improve mental health services but also to co-ordinate cross government actions to promote the mental health and wellbeing of the whole population. Furthermore because it is now widely recognised that half of all mental health problems start by the age of 14, childhood and early adulthood are key periods in the development of personal resilience and educational and social skills that will provide the foundations for good mental health across the whole life course.</p> <p>This endeavour brings together a wide range of stakeholders. The evidence based interventions for promoting good wellbeing in childhood include activities such as increasing play opportunities, parenting programmes, school based mental health promotion (e.g TAMHs), SEAL (Social and Emotional Aspects of Learning), anti bullying programmes and the integrated 'health offer' to Secondary schools and Colleges. We are therefore working to develop a shared model of wellbeing across the piece, to engage children and young people's directorate in the newly formed mental health and wellbeing strategic group and to develop an action plan to disseminate the evidence based 5 ways to wellbeing.</p>								

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 58	Emotional and behavioural health of looked after children	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	14.1			13.3	13.9
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	13.9				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	13.9	13.5			
Note	<p>This is the second year of the local government indicator which focuses on the psychological and emotional wellbeing of children in care. The process for dissemination, collating and reviewing of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) has been strengthened and the initial returns remain high. The information is analysed via the Kite team and those children's needs identified as high (H) or very high (VH) are subject to two further SDQ completed by the young person and school.</p> <p>However it is imperative that the SDQ are understood in the context of the health needs of children in care and are used to identify children at risk and in need of intervention.</p> <p>The Integrated Looked After Children (LAC) steering group is an established multidisciplinary forum which provides for the integrated provision and approach.</p> <p>The Educational Psychologist's analysis of SDQ for 2009/10 identified a number of issues which will assist in informing how information can inform health assessments or allow for early identification. Some of the findings highlight: gender, placement characteristics and status of children can be indicative of the social, emotional and behavioural difficulties experienced by children in care.</p> <p>The Steering Group has identified the need to strengthen the role of quality assurance of SDQ and Health Assessments via LAC Statutory Reviews. An Independent Reviewing Officer (IRO) representative will attend future meetings.</p> <p>Overall the implementation of the SDQ has been successful. The litmus test is in continuing to insure that the information is used by Health Professionals, Carers and Social workers in consultation with children and young people to inform effective interventions. One of the gaps is the low take up rate of health assessments post 16. The challenge remains to normalise experience of young people and using environments which young people are receptive to. The Care Plan and Pathway planning process are critical to determining this and in reflecting young person's wishes and feelings.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 51	Effectiveness of child and adolescent mental health (CAMHS) services	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	13			13	14
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	14				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	14	13			
		Note	<p>Our current self assessment score is 14 out of a possible 16. This has exceeded the target of 13. The 2010 -2014 Strategic Plan of the Child & Adolescent Mental Health Service (CAMHS) Partnership was presented to the Primary Care Trust (PCT) Board in March and the Health and CYP Scrutiny Committees in April. The strategic plan is underpinned by an improvement programme.</p> <p>The 2 areas requiring further development in order to achieve a self assessment of 16 require an increase in therapeutic capacity for 16 - 18 year olds to enable delivery of a range of CAMHS particularly around psychological therapies. Also CAMHS outpatient environment at Stepping Hill Hospital not appropriate for this age group. Greater integration between multi-agency services is required whilst integrated pathways work is on going. There are some capacity issues around this area of work.</p>				

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 52a	Take up of school lunches - Primary Schools	2007/08						
			Value				Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	41.3%				33.0%	39.0%
		2008/09						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2008/09	42.9%	N/A				39.0%	40.6%	

Note	<p>Food Partnership training was held in March with 11 Primary schools sending someone along who will cascade the information in their school. There will be a follow-up meeting in June to discuss progress. An additional training day has been organised for June so another 15 Primary schools can take part.</p> <p>In January 2010 the SASH (Stay active/stay Healthy) resource was launched during the launch of the Healthy Weight strategy. This resource is available for Primary schools to download and use with years 4/5/6 to supplement the work they already do around Healthy eating. Two schools (Great Moor Junior/ St. Mary's RC (Marple)) who piloted this resource did presentations on the day and were awarded certificates. 15 schools in priority areas via Sports Trust will be offered the opportunity for work to be done with Year 3 around the resource- this will be done on a rolling-programme over this financial year so 5 schools will be offered this in the Summer Term 2010/the next 5 Autumn 2010 etc.</p> <p>In March 2010 the Stockport Healthy eating audit was launched with the state nursery schools- the 2 pilot nurseries (Belmont/Freshfields) were awarded certificates for Healthy Eating and Physical Activity the other schools can complete the audit and one of the food workers will visit and the award will follow.</p>
-------------	--

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 52b	Take up of school lunches - Secondary Schools	2007/08						
			Value				Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	57.1%				38.2%	38.0%
		2008/09						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2008/09	58.3%	N/A				36.8%	35.1%	






Note	<p>2009/10 performance will not be available until May 2010.</p> <p>All schools are signed up to 'Million Meals' and can access material to support school meals. Support and advice is available on all food-related issues.</p> <p>Schools can also request support/advice & training around this agenda via the Personal, Social & Health Education (PSHE) service- this will be multi-agency and can range from advice on setting up a breakfast club to staff meetings on the whole-school approach.</p> <p>8 Higher Level Teaching Assistants (HLTA) have been trained in secondary schools to deliver Cookery clubs.</p>
-------------	---






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 53	Prevalence of breast-feeding at 6-8 wks from birth	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	45.6%			Not available	Not available
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	45.4%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	49.2%	45.8%				Not available	Not available
Note	<p>During 2009-10 1,655 mothers breastfed at 6-8 weeks out of a total 3,365.</p> <p>In 2009 / 2010 Stockport achieved a rate of 49.2% rate for breastfeeding at 6 – 8 weeks. This is above target and represents an increase on the previous year. Full year national figures are not yet available. From previous analysis however Stockport is performing very well in comparison with other local authorities in the North West. In both quarters 1 and 2 of 2009 / 2010 Stockport achieved the highest rate in the North West of England. The North West region has the lowest rates of breastfeeding nationally and Stockport is mid range in terms of national comparisons.</p> <p>Stockport Foundation trust continues to employ a breastfeeding specialist midwife. This role, through support of Health Innovation Fund monies, continues to provide leadership across the borough. There is also a strong multi-agency approach which includes active involvement of a range of partners including, Health Visiting, Children's Centres, Public Health and Neighbourhood Renewal. It is felt that the continued strong performance is due to continued determined leadership from the Foundation Trust and strong engagement from a range of partners.</p> <p>Underlying the overall positive performance there are significant variations in rates across the borough. This can be mapped clearly with patterns of deprivation. Some of the more affluent areas have rates above 65% with some of the more deprived areas having rates below 25%. Over the last 3 years rates have remained static in the more deprived areas whilst rising in the more affluent areas.</p> <p>These variations demonstrate the need more focussed work to promote attitudinal change within areas with low rates and support those women who choose to breastfeed in those areas. Stockport has a well developed peer support network. Further training of peer supporters will take place shortly. A group is currently being convened to develop an action plan for developing peer support in priority 1 areas. Further changes in focus will be needed in order to reverse the currently widening gap in breastfeeding rates.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 54	Services for disabled children	2008/09						
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend	National Average
		2008/09	N/A		?		?	59
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	61	N/A	✓	?	?	61	61	
Note	<p>This is the first time this NI has been reported. Stockport's 2009/10 performance is in line with the national average. This indicator assesses parents' general experience of services for disabled children (aged 0 – 19) and the extent to which services for disabled children are delivered according to the 'core offer' standards. The score (out of 100) is derived from a national Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) commissioned survey.</p> <p>To improve performance in this area further development of the Disability Partnership will take place with a focus around short breaks development.</p>							

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 199	Children and young people's satisfaction with parks and play areas	2008/09							
			Value		Long Trend		Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	46.4%		?		?	48.1%	46.4%
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
2009/10	56.2%	46.0%	✓	↑	↑	54.7%	54.1%		
Note	<p>We have successfully delivered on the all the year one Playbuilder schemes, which is 11 new or significantly improved play sites for the borough. We have also completed one of the year two schemes within year one, making a total of 12 new or significantly new play sites delivered across 2009/10. We have formally opened three of the year one sites and all year one sites will be formally opened by the middle of May 2010.</p> <p>We are in the process of starting to review Stockport's current Play Strategy. This will be completed by April 2011, ensuring we have a new Play Strategy in place, which will build on what we already have and drive the play agenda, ensuring play is contributing to a wide range of cross cutting targets. We hope to convene a Play Shaper Event in June 2010, which will bring together key strategic partners to set priorities around play, which will be central to the new strategy.</p> <p>As part of the strategy review we will consider if we need more robust local performance indicators around play, which will enable us to be more effective in measuring the impact of play in Stockport.</p>								

CYP Q4 09/10 - Stay Safe - Non-LAA (Financial)






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 48	Children killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents- percentage change compared to the previous year based on a 3 year rolling average.	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	3.4%			4.4%	6.8%
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	-7.1%	8.4%			
Note	The provisional total for child KSI casualties for calendar year 2009 is 12. Although a small numerical increase, this has produced a change from a positive figure of 3.4% last year to a negative figure of 7.1% for this year. Any subsequent change to the total before final validation could produce a further significant percentage change. As the numbers are small we can easily analyse each incident and will especially target the secondary school age group.						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 59	Percentage of initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	80.1%			70.5%	71.8%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10					
			77.7%				
		2009/10					
	Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend		
2009/10	77.7%	80.0%					
Note	<p>Please note year-end figures are provisional and may change subject to the end of year Children in Need (CIN) census returns.</p> <p>980 Initial Assessments for Children's Social Care from a total of 1271 were completed in timescale [77.7%]. This averages to 106 Initial Assessments per month which is a 14% increase from last year. To achieve this year's target 29 additional assessments would have to of been completed within timescale.</p> <p>This performance is within the context of the team sizes remaining the same and also staffing pressures within the service. This performance is still satisfactory alongside the anticipated performance of our statistical neighbours and national average. The Social Care management team continues to focus upon business and performance management activity on this indicator with very close support from colleagues in the Information Performance and Business Transformation Team.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 60	Percentage of core assessments for children's social care that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	85.0%			76.5%	78.2%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	80.1%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	80.1%	85.0%			
		Note	<p>Please note that the figures are provisional and may change subject to the end of year CIN Census returns.</p> <p>298 out of 372 core assessments for Children's Social Care were completed within timescale (80%). Given the denominator, this indicator was 18 core assessments under target. This reflects the change in reporting methods and some staffing pressures. The number of core assessments completed each month averages out at 31 which demonstrates a year on year increase (14% increase from 2008/9). This is in the context of team sizes remaining the same and caseloads continuing to be at capacity. This should be viewed as strong performance when set against the anticipated performance of our statistical neighbours and national average.</p> <p>The Social Care management team continues to focus business and performance management activity on this indicator with very close support from colleagues in the Information Performance and Business Transformation team.</p>				






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 61	Timeliness of placements of looked after children for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	92.3%			81.3%	75.8%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	72.7%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	72.7%	85.0%				Not available	Not available
Note	A sibling group of 4 in this year's cohort were placed together but slightly outside time scale. Consequently we have known for a significant part of the year that this target would not be met. The outcome for the sibling group was however a very positive one which could not be achieved in a more timely fashion. The delay was carefully monitored to ensure active family finding was ongoing and that there was no planning drift. There were only 11 children in the denominator and therefore delays affecting only two children has affected performance. Careful monitoring will be ongoing but there are risks to the performance of the indicator in that large sibling groups and children with significant special needs are frequently more difficult to match with an adoptive family.						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 64 PAF-CF/C21	Child Protection Plans lasting 2 years or more	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	3.1%			6.6%	5.8%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	8.8%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	8.8%	7.0%					
Note	This figure is slightly higher than the target. However the numbers involved are very small - if 4 children fewer were subject to a plan for this length of time, then the target would have been met. Despite this a return of 8.8% is defined by Government as "good," the second highest level of performance. Given the national increase in the numbers of children subject to a child protection plan it is understandable that professionals are likely to make decisions in a slightly more risk averse manner.						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 65 PAF-CF/A3	Percentage of children becoming the subject of Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time	2008/09							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2008/09	16.9%			15.0%	13.5%		
		Quarter 4							
			Value						
		Q4 2009/10	17.8%						
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	17.8%	12.5%				Not available	Not available
		Note	<p>This indicator is made up of a total cohort of 191 children. Of which 34 children were made subject to a plan for a second time within a year. 10 fewer children being made subject to a plan for a second time would of been required to hit the target. Despite this, a figure of 17.8% is defined as "good" by Government, the second best performance. (For best performance the figure needs to be between 10 - 15%)</p> <p>Further analysis of this data is required, in particular for those children made subject to a plan for a second time within a year. The outcome of the analysis will inform actions required in order to improve performance next year.</p>						







PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 68	Percentage of referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	58.5%			56.9%	63.8%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10		42.4%			
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	42.4%	58.0%			
		Note	Please note these figures are provisional and may change subject to the end of year Children in Need (CIN) census returns.				
1261 referrals to Children's Social Care from a total of 2976 went onto Initial Assessment (42.4%). The number of referrals to Social Care has increased by 37% from 2008/2009. To achieve the target for 09/10 of 58%, another 464 referrals going on to initial assessment would be needed.							
This performance is within the context of the team sizes remaining the same and also staffing pressures within the service. Within Social Care we are continuing to assess appropriately and use our effective multi agency approach when responding to the numbers of referrals made therefore signposting families accordingly. This performance is lower than the anticipated performance of our statistical neighbours and the national average. However, this figure reflects the situation that those referring may have lowered their threshold whilst that of social care has maintained a steady equilibrium, demonstrating that social care has consistently had good risk management. The Social Care management team continues to focus upon business and performance management activity on this indicator with very close support from colleagues in the Information Performance and Business Transformation Team.							
NI 68 has been identified as a priority for Children's Trust integrated working. The Integrated Prevention Service will be supporting colleagues in social care by developing a pathway which will impact positively upon this national indicator. The rationale is to develop a structured pathway which will ensure that all young people who present at the contact centre and who do not meet the criteria for initial assessment receive a tier two intervention or the offer of a universal service. This pathway will be underpinned by the CAF and common processes and will enable the Children's Trust to gather analysis to drive commissioning and multi-agency working.							
During 09/10, 2976 referrals went for consideration for initial assessment. Of these, 1261 went to initial assessment leaving 1715 children and young people who would benefit from early intervention and a structured pathway, ensuring that no young people 'slip the net' and endorsing the concept of 'no wrong door'.							






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 63 PAF-CF/D78	Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	65.4%			66.0%	67.0%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	79.7%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	79.7%	80.0%					
Note	98 looked after children from 123 [79.7%] have had the same placement for 2 years or longer. We were one placement short of our target. This was achieved through early identification of children at risk of three placement moves and subsequent good care planning thereafter. A multi agency workshop focused upon the risk and trends affecting placement moves to improve future care planning. There was also close liaison with the fostering service and the Safeguarding Reviewing Officers regarding placement disruptions and the quality of provision. Furthermore, we were successful in securing the provision of two social work posts specifically funded to improve placement stability outcomes. The social workers will join the service this summer.						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 66 PAF-CF/C68	Looked after children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	97.3%			92.3%	90.9%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	99.0%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	99.0%	100.0%					
Note	There has been an improvement of almost 2 percentage points from last years figure. This year's figure of 99% represents excellent performance and indicates that care planning is taking place for almost all reviews of children in care. Only 3 children had their reviews outside of timescale. Despite this excellent performance we continue to strive to review all children in care within timescale and will use the lessons learned from this year to attempt to reach a target of 100% next year.						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 70	The number of finished in-year emergency admissions of children and young people to hospital as a result of unintentional and deliberate injury, per 10,000 population of children and young people.	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend		Short Trend	
		2008/09	130.3				
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	123.3				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	126.7	N/A			
Note	<p>The 2009/10 includes admissions up to the middle of March 2010. Up to that point there have been 743 admissions compared to 785 in 2008/09. Although there will be additional admissions during the last 2 weeks in March it is likely that performance will meet this year's target.</p> <p>Progress in this area has been slow due to a lack of dedicated capacity to lead, co-ordinate and deliver work to impact on this indicator. Several local initiatives are being delivered including a home safety equipment scheme which operates in an area with high levels of deprivation and the Crucial Crew events aimed at school aged children. There are also well developed preventative programmes delivered by the fire service and the road safety team. A number of priorities for action have been identified. A review of public health capacity to support progression of work in relation to children's indicators is in progress and this indicator will be considered as part of that review.</p> <p>Local partnerships are supporting colleagues in Public Health to develop 'prevention of childhood accidents action plan'. This partnership is a key part of the Borough's local delivery of the National Healthy Child Programmes. In addition work is currently underway to support a coordinated multi agency delivery plan for 'Child Safety Week' in June 2010</p> <p>Local Partnerships have recently developed a pathway between Stockport's Children's Centres and Greater Manchester Fire & Rescue Service to enable universal assessment and appropriate referral for home fire safety intervention for all (100%) families engaged with the service. This will provide families with smoke alarms; replacement of chip pans with electric fryers; smoke resistant bedding/ nightwear; practical fire safety advice.</p> <p>The fire service have agreed to set up a bespoke children's centre 'code' so that analysis can be provided on uptake/impact of the intervention and will enable tracking and evidence of the impact of this integrated prevention initiative.</p>						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
CYP NI 39	Number of Hospital Admissions for Alcohol Related Harm for 16 -19 year olds	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend		Short Trend	
		2008/09	143				
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	29				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	138	143					
Note	<p>The total in 2009/10 up to the middle of March is 138 admissions. Final data will be available in June 2010. A target of 143 was set last year in order to try and halt the upward trend in previous years of alcohol related harm admissions in this age group and it appears that based on the current data, it is likely we will meet the target.</p> <p>MOSAIC has worked hard over the past year to try and reduce alcohol related harm and possible admissions. Developments over the past year include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pathway in place with the Foundation Trust 'Lifestyle Service' to refer ward admissions to MOSAIC • provision of 'alcohol treatment requirements' for 18-25 year olds in partnership with Probation • improved partnership working with GP's to ensure young people with alcohol problems have their health needs are appropriately met in the community and preventing further harm • partnership work with AHA Service (Alcohol Health Advisory Service), who provide screening & brief interventions for non-dependent alcohol users • continued workforce development provided to other services to ensure the wider YP workforce are skilled to screen assess and intervene or refer on around substance misuse issues • increased work with colleges around substance misuse and a revised policy between colleges, police and MOSAIC. <p>Further work to be done</p> <p>Further to this, MOSAIC are working with Accident & Emergency (A&E) to set up a pathway for under 16's to provide early intervention and prevention for those attending A&E due to alcohol related concerns. There are still some barriers to implementing this pathway effectively around information sharing but MOSAIC are continuing to work with the Trust and Primary Care Trust (PCT) to try and unblock these and move forward. MOSAIC are awaiting more detailed data around alcohol attendances at A&E to try target further work. The 'Place of Safety Initiative' needs further coordinated planning this year to ensure that it continues to be implemented.</p> <p>Alcohol use and related harm in young people remains a key issue for Stockport. Alcohol is the main referral problem for all referrals to the MOSAIC School Based Service over the past year and the second highest referral problem for the MOSAIC Treatment team. The majority of referrals for 'children affected by parental substance misuse' are also from families with alcohol problems and this work needs to continue in order to 'break the cycle' of problematic alcohol use within the family.</p>						



PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 22	Perceptions of parents taking responsibility for the behaviour of their children in the area	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	28.9%			29.5%	29.6%
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	31.8%				
Note	<p>The Parenting Support Service brings together Parent Support Advisors, Children and Family Workers, Specialist Parenting Practitioners and a wide range of partners to deliver a comprehensive range of services to parents, from early intervention and prevention through to the use of enforcement measures.</p> <p>The parenting early intervention project supported 175 families in the period from 1st September to the end of March 2010. Sixty five families have completed a twelve week accredited Webster Stratton parenting course.</p> <p>An important strand of Stockport's Think Family delivery is through partnerships with the Third Sector. Stockport Women's Centre received funding to deliver The Freedom Programme which supports women to help them move away from abusive relationships. To date 60 women have accessed the programme and 94 have had assessments of need undertaken.</p> <p>Mosaic received funding Think Family to run a group based project using the "Holding Families" model, which works with substance misusing parents whilst at the same time offering support to their children.</p> <p>The Family Intervention Project is now fully subscribed and working intensively with 16 of the Borough's most vulnerable families.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 67 BV162	Percentage of child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	100.0%			99.3%	99.1%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	100.0%				
		2009/10					
	Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend		
2009/10	100.0%	100.0%					
Note	Once again all children in Stockport subject to a child protection plan were reviewed within timescale. This is the seventh consecutive year that this has happened and all agencies are to be congratulated for their contribution, as conferences need to be quorate in order to proceed. This year has been particularly challenging as the adverse weather over the winter caused great disruption to the provision of services. Despite this staff were able to rearrange conferences in order to continue with this excellent level of performance.						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 69	Children who have experienced bullying	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2008/09	49.5%			49.3%	50.1%	
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	26.1%					28.3%	28.8%	
Note	<p>The Tellus4 survey outcome places Stockport in the top performing band in the country. The percentage of children completing the survey this year who indicated that they had experienced bullying is 26.1%. This year the England average was 28.8% so Stockport's figure is 2.7 percentage points below that.</p> <p>It should be noted that performance of NI 69 in 2008/09 & 2009/10 is not directly comparable for a number of reasons. The most important is that in Tellus3, it was not clear how children who may have been bullied more than a year ago responded. It is possible that in some cases their answers may have led to an inflation of the level of bullying recorded in the up to one year category in Tellus3 and hence that the results for NI 69 may have been overstated. The question in Tellus4 has been made much clearer and therefore provides a more accurate measure for the indicator.</p> <p>There are plans to build on this year's positive picture during 2010/11 and the anti bullying group has developed a strategy in order to do so. An important local driver will be the outcome of the scrutiny review with its emphasis on cyber bullying. Plans have already been laid to take forward the recommendations of the scrutiny review via the anti bullying strategic group.</p>							





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
CYP NI 32	Percentage reduction in repeat incidents of domestic violence that involve children and young people	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend		
		2008/09	N/A	?	?		
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	N/A		?	?	?
Note	<p>This indicator is under development but should be available for reporting in 2010/11.</p> <p>We are currently looking at what data we can get from Initial Child Protection Conferences where Domestic Violence was an issue and breaking this data down into gender, ethnicity, age and location. From April 09 to the end of February 10 there was 57% (55 out of 96) of Initial Child Protection Conferences where Domestic Violence was an issue, showing how prevalent Domestic Violence is. We are also going to look at what data we can collect from referrals of Domestic Abuse/Violence for Social Care. These will be developed over the next financial year.</p>						





CYP Q4 09/10 - Enjoy & Achieve - Non-LAA (Academic)



PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 85c	Post-16 participation in physical sciences (A Level Physics, Chemistry and Maths)- Physics	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	231			536	50701
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2008/09	214	N/A			598	57618	
Note	Activities around this indicator include the sharing of good practice and induction/taster sessions for Science courses to prevent students from dropping out.						



PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 104	Percentage gap between pupils with Special Educational Needs and their peers achieving the expected level in English and Maths at Key Stage 2	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average	
		2008/09	53%			51%	
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	49%	46%			Not available	Not available	
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, the achievement gap between pupils identified as having Special Educational Needs (SEN) and their peers achieving at least Level 4 in English & Maths at Key Stage 2 was 53%.</p> <p>From 1 September 2009, 2 experienced consultants were seconded to the role of narrowing the gap advisors to identify and address any gaps. Outcomes from the initial year's work will be updated later in 2010.</p> <p>Close working with School Improvement Advisers and Learning Support Service staff enables greater identification of children with Special Needs leading to appropriate and tailored support packages having a positive impact on attainment levels. Primary schools are more aware of the cohort with School Improvement Partners/School Improvement Advisers having continued discussions with the schools.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 76	Number of schools where fewer than 55% of pupils achieve level 4 or above in both English and Maths at KS2	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	6.0			18.8	1472.0
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	
2009/10	5.0	5.0					
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>The schools below 55% have been allocated a significantly larger proportion of funding to support intervention programmes particularly to develop basic skills and to develop high quality teaching. The Local Authority consultants continue to work very closely with the school teachers to support quality teaching. The majority of schools in this area are in the Sustaining school programme which requires the school to monitor progress of pupils termly. At these meetings the Local Authority is represented to challenge schools and broker further support where necessary. The majority of schools who were below 55% are reporting that they will be above the floor target in 2010.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 78	Number of schools where fewer than 30% of pupils achieve 5 or more A* - C grades at GCSE and equivalent including GCSEs in English and Maths	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	1			4	247
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	
2009/10	0	0					
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>During 2008/09, there was 1 school where fewer than 30% of pupils achieved 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent inc GCSE in English and Maths. To achieve the target, no schools should have fewer than 30% of pupils achieving 5 or more A*-C grades. More recent secondary consultant support for the academy has focussed on strengthening teaching and learning in Mathematics at Key Stage 4.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 84	Achievement of 2 or more A* - C grades in Science GCSEs or equivalent	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	54.8%			59.4%	53.7%
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	
2009/10	56.0%	56.0%					
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system.</p> <p>During 2008/09 1648 out of 3009 pupils achieved 2 or more A* - C grades in Science GCSEs or equivalent. Performance exceeded the target of 54.0% by 23 pupils.</p> <p>The local authorities focused support on making good progress in science and, in particular, increasing the rates of progress for those pupils achieving level 6+ at the end of Key Stage 3 has impacted positively on the overall outcome. The on-going Continuing Professional Development (CPD) relating to the new GCSE specifications has prepared teachers for the pedagogical and assessment requirements of the revised examinations. Schools are beginning to look more closely at the appropriateness of Science courses for individual pupils such as BTech and Applied Science which better match the learning needs of the students, and result in an overall increase in the school's performance in relation to two good Sciences. The local authority is using additional support from the National Strategies Science Regional Adviser with responsibility for "narrowing the gap" to support schools in focussing on those pupils who are identified as under achieving in Science.</p> <p>Recent Local Authority activity has focussed on the best means of sharing pre and post 16 performance data in Science in order to promote stronger post 16 take up in Sciences.</p>						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 85a	Post-16 participation in physical sciences (A Level Physics, Chemistry and Maths)- Physics	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	83			240	24703
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2008/09	91	N/A			248	19824	
Note	Activities around this indicator include the sharing of good practice and induction/taster sessions for Science courses to prevent students from dropping out.						





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 85b	Post-16 participation in physical sciences (A Level Physics, Chemistry and Maths)- Chemistry	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	133			355	36328
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2008/09	143	N/A			353	29173	
Note	Activities around this indicator include the sharing of good practice and induction/taster sessions for Science courses to prevent students from dropping out.						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 88	Percentage of schools providing access to extended services	2008/09				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	
		2008/09	86%			
		Spring Term				
			Value			
		End of Spring Term 2009/10		99%		
		2009/10				
	Value	Target	Status	Long Trend		
2009/10	100%	100%				
Note	<p>Current position as at the end of Spring term 2009/10, Stockport has over 99% of all schools designated with the Training and Development Agency for Schools (TDA) as providing access to the extended schools core offer. The national average is 97%.</p> <p>We anticipate that the one remaining school will achieve the target of designation by July 2010.</p>					

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 89a	Number of schools judged as requiring special measures	2008/09				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	
		2008/09	0			
		2009/10 Forecast				
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend
2009/10	0	0				
Note	There are currently no schools in special measures.					






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 105	Percentage gap between pupils with Special Educational Needs and their peers achieving 5A*-C GCSE including English and Maths	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average		
		2008/09	49.4%			46.5%		
		2009/10 Forecast						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	44.0%	46.5%			Not available	Not available
Note	<p>The 2009/10 figure is a forecast value used to generate a RAG status within the system. The forecast figure has been generated using the Fischer Family Trust (national analytical package) progress estimates which analyses the progress of Stockport schools over the previous 3 years and estimates likely achievement if the schools made similar progress in 2009/10.</p> <p>During 2008/09, the achievement gap between pupils identified as having Special Educational Needs (SEN) and their peers achieving 5A*-C GCSE including English and Maths was 49.4%.</p> <p>From 1 September 2009, 2 experienced consultants were seconded to the role of narrowing the gap advisors to identify and address any gaps. Outcomes from the initial year's work will be updated later in 2010.</p> <p>Close working with School Improvement Advisers and Learning Support Service staff enables greater identification of children with Special Needs leading to appropriate and tailored support packages having a positive impact on attainment levels.</p> <p>Schools are more aware of the cohort with School Improvement Partners/School Improvement Advisers having discussions with every secondary school</p>							






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data			
NI 107g	Key Stage 2 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups -Pakistani	2007/08			
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2007/08	72.7%		
		2008/09			
			Value	Target	Status
2008/09	78.2%	77.0%			
Note	<p>During 2008/09, 68 out of 87 pupils achieved Key Stage 2 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups – Pakistani. Performance exceeded the target of 77.0% by 1 pupil.</p> <p>From 1 September 2009, 2 experienced consultants were seconded to the role of narrowing the gap advisors to identify and address any gaps. Outcomes from the initial year's work will be updated later in 2010. The data team have supported the leadership of the Ethnic Diversity Service to identify key data via the creation of the virtual school supported by the School Improvement Service. This information is being reviewed to identify key gaps for individual schools and to inform deployment decisions.</p> <p>School Improvement Partners/School Improvement Advisers have carried out discussions in all primary schools in relation to identified groups.</p>				






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data			
NI 108g	Key Stage 4 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups -Pakistani	2007/08			
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2007/08	61.4%		
		2008/09			
			Value	Target	Status
2008/09	50.0%	50.0%			
Note	<p>During 2008/09, 34 out of 68 pupils achieved Key Stage 4 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups – Pakistani.</p> <p>From 1 September 2009, 2 experienced consultants were seconded to the role of narrowing the gap advisors to identify and address any gaps. Outcomes from the initial year's work will be updated later in 2010.</p> <p>The data team have supported the leadership of the Ethnic Diversity Service to identify key data via the creation of the virtual school supported by the School Improvement Service. This information is being reviewed to identify key gaps for individual schools and to inform deployment decisions.</p> <p>Work has started with two targeted Secondary Schools, which includes raising awareness and piloting the Key Stage 4 Secondary Strategy materials for narrowing the gap on English as an additional language (EAL) with these targeted schools.</p>				






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 90	Take up of 14-19 learning diplomas	2008/09				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	
		2008/09	35			
		2009/10				
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend
		2009/10	178			
Note	<p>As at April 2010, there are currently 178 learners engaged in a diploma programme. The diploma programme is available for the following subjects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Construction and Built Environment: Level 1 & Level 2 (pre-16) · Engineering: Level 1 & Level 2 (pre 16) · Creative and Media: Level 2 (pre 16), Level 3 (post 16) · Society, Health and Development: Level 2 (pre 16), Level 3 (post 16) <p>Compared to several Greater Manchester Local Authorities the number of learners on the Diploma programme is high. We failed to recruit viable numbers to the Foundation Diplomas in Society, Health and Development and Creative and Media. The poor recruitment to Level 1 Diplomas is a national issue. We failed to recruit to the Advanced Diplomas in Construction and the Built Environment and Engineering. This was due to the existence of successful and popular alternative Level 3 provision in these sector areas.</p> <p>An Ofsted 14-19 survey inspection in December 2009 identified high retention rates on Diploma courses as a strength in the Local Authority (LA).</p> <p>The spring term 2010 sees the start of the recruitment drive for 2010 Diploma delivery. The LA will be offering an additional five Diplomas; Hospitality; Retail Business; IT; Business, Administration and Finance (BAF) and Sport and Active Leisure. All, with the exception of BAF, will be offered at all three levels. BAF will be offered at Level 3 (Advanced) only in its first year. The full Diploma offer for the September 2010 start is a combined total of 115 Foundation Diploma, 375 Higher Diploma and 215 Advanced Diploma places.</p>					

CYP Q4 09/10 - Enjoy & Achieve - Non-LAA (Financial)





PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 86	Secondary schools judged as having good or outstanding standards of behaviour	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	54%			81%	76%
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	54%	69%			
Note	<p>2009/10 performance is reported as the position as at 31st March 2010. Of the 13 Secondary Schools inspected, 7 were awarded grades 1 or 2.</p> <p>Bramhall High School was the only secondary school in Stockport to be inspected during the Spring term 2010. Pupil behaviour for learning was judged to be good by Ofsted inspectors.</p> <p>The impact of the revised Ofsted framework has been noticeable in the judgements with much more rigorous criteria being implemented.</p> <p>The School Improvement Service Plan 2009-10 summarises the strategies which will be implemented to improve standards of behaviour in secondary schools and the business plan of the Behaviour and Attendance consultant outlines these strategies in more detail. Key actions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The continued roll out of the Social and Emotional Aspects of Learning so that this will be embedded in 90% of our secondary schools · Half day session offered to secondary behaviour leads to focus on the revised Ofsted Framework. Colleagues will also be given the National Strategies RAG Framework to support their improvement work in schools · Focused support for improving behaviour is given to schools where issues are identified and is also focused on identified groups at risk · Work is ongoing in schools to further develop circle time which will support the move to the roll out of restorative approaches for pupils at risk · All secondary schools have been funded to use The Educational Climate Tool which enables in depth surveys of pupils views which can then feed into the planning of strategies for improving behaviour · A range of groups and networks will continue to ensure dissemination of best practice and communication and vital issues in this area of work. These include the pupils at risk of exclusion (PARE) Forum, the Attendance Leads Network · A multi agency sub group of the Social, Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties (SEBD) Review has been established that is focused on raising the standards of behaviour particularly in secondary schools 						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 103a	Special Educational Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks (excluding exceptions)	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	100.0%			92.2%	91.0%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	100.0%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	100.0%	90.0%			
Note	<p>Quarter 4 performance shows there were 18 statements finalised within the 26-week time frame. There were no exceptions.</p> <p>2009/10 performance shows a total of 127 statements finalised within the 26-week time frame, with no exceptions.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 103b	Special Educational Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks (including exceptions)	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	97.3%			84.2%	82.0%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	100.0%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	100.0%	90.0%			
Note	<p>Quarter 4 performance shows there were 18 statements finalised within the 26-week time frame. There were no exceptions.</p> <p>2009/10 performance shows a total of 127 statements finalised within the 26-week time frame, with no exceptions.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 109	Delivery of Sure Start Children's Centres	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	79.00%			Not available	Not available
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	100.00%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	100.00%	100.00%			
		Note	<p>We now have 19 Children's Centre's that are operational across Stockport. In March 2010, fifteen of our Children's Centre's achieved the key milestone of delivering the Full Core Offer. The other four of our Children's Centres were designated in March 2010, which is the point at which a Children's Centre becomes operational.</p> <p>This ensures coverage across the whole borough and will increase the amount of advice support and guidance we can provide to parents/carers about childcare.</p>				






CYP Q4 09/10 - Positive Contribution - Non-LAA (Academic)






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data			
NI 114	Percentage of pupils permanently excluded from school in the year	2008/09			
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2008/09	0.14		
		Quarter 4			
			Value		
		Q4 2008/09	0.07		
		2009/10 Forecast			
			Value	Target	Status
2009/10	0.11	0.13			
Note	<p>At the end of the spring term 2009/10 there were 27 confirmed off-roll permanent exclusions from schools in Stockport, all from the mainstream secondary phase (including Stockport Academy). The total school population in Stockport at January 2010 was 37,202. The percentage of the school population permanently excluded from school at the end of the spring term 2010 is therefore 0.07%: $27 / 37202 \times 100 = 0.07\%$</p> <p>This represents a 0.02% decrease on the same period in 2008/09 where there were 33 permanent exclusions off roll and a school population in January 2009 of 37321 pupils: $33 / 37321 \times 100 = 0.09\%$</p> <p>It is not possible to confidently predict the number of permanent exclusions (PEX) as it dependent on the behaviour of individual students and the circumstances of incidents, behind which are a number of variable factors. There are also variables relating to the timing of the permanent exclusion and the review/appeal process in the summer term, which may effect whether the exclusion is counted in the 2009/10 academic year or the following year.</p> <p>However, at the end of the spring term 2009/10 the average rate of permanent exclusion from schools in Stockport was 1.08 PEX per week. There are 14 weeks in the summer term 2009/10, giving a forecast of 42 PEX at the end of the academic year, equal to 0.11% of the pupil population.</p> <p>The local authority has been working closely with secondary schools this academic year to achieve a reduction in the number of permanent exclusions issued. Strategies have included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Half termly meetings of the PARE (pupils at risk of exclusion) Forum, which is made up of the behaviour leads from each secondary school and aims to reduce exclusions through the sharing of data and good practice - Managed pupil transfer process - Support for individual pupils from LA services - Joint transition project between the Primary and Secondary Behaviour Support Services to support pupils with behavioural difficulties transferring from Year 6 to Year 7 - Extended work-based learning for KS4 students managed by the Compass service, including a Teaching Assistant employed specifically to support those pupils at risk of permanent exclusion and requiring high levels of support - Training for secondary school Governing Bodies on roles and responsibilities relating to exclusion from school - Awareness-raising amongst schools, partnership boards and elected members - Development of a local authority strategy plan for the development of restorative approaches - The review of secondary Social, Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties (SEBD) services, which includes a number of specific tasks that will impact on exclusions 				






CYP Q4 09/10 - Positive Contribution - Non-LAA (Financial)

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 44c	Ethnic composition of offenders on Youth Justice System disposals - black or black british	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	1.9%			1.7%	3.4%
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	2.3%	.0%			
Note	<p>This measure is currently at draft stage and will be reviewed at the end of April in line with Youth Justice Board submissions.</p> <p>This NI measures the number of young people aged 10-17 from BME backgrounds coming into the Youth Justice System as a proportion of the general 10-17 population of Stockport to see whether they are over represented. This particular section looks at the number of young people who are identified as Black or Black British.</p> <p>It is important to note that the data used to compare the youth justice and general youth population of Stockport as a whole is taken by the Youth Justice Board from the ONS data from mid 2006 and as such is not current and cannot be relied on to reflect accurately the current population of Stockport.</p> <p>Performance in this category shows that the proportion of Black and Black British young people entering the Youth Justice System are 2.3 % over that of the proportion of Black and Black British youth population in Stockport in general. This performance shows an increasing over representation compared to the previous year where there was a 1.9% over representation.</p> <p>There were 418 young offenders in the year 2009/10, where each received a substantive outcome in the period. Of those, 13 fell within the sub category 'Black or Black British'. Of those, 13 young people were identified as within the sub category 'Black or Black British'. This means that the proportion of Black or Black British young people in the youth justice system was 3.1% of the overall youth justice population.</p> <p>In conclusion then 10-17 year Black and Black British young people are over represented in the Youth Justice System in Stockport by 2.3% when compared to the proportion of this group in the general youth population.</p> <p>In order for us to have the same proportion of young Black and Black British young people in the Youth Justice System as in the general Stockport youth population we would need to have only have 3.34 young Black and Black British young people in the YJS within the year which means 10 less young people than currently.</p> <p>The YOS Diversity and Participation Group was re-established last year. An action plan for 2010/11 includes focus by this group on performance around this NI with a remit to identify strategies to address over representation by Black young people in the Youth Justice System. This data is also shared with the Stockport Against Racism Together (START) group to enable partners to contribute to reducing the over presentation of the BME population.</p> <p>In addition the YOS now have a Community Cohesion Worker, funded until September 2010, and part of this role is to link in with schools and colleges to work with groups of BME young people, which will allow for an opportunity to identify BME young people who maybe at risk of offending in order to sign post them on to appropriate diversionary services, such as the Prevention team.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 43	Young people within the Youth Justice System receiving a conviction in court who are sentenced to custody	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average		
		2008/09	5.4%			6.1%		
		Quarter 4						
			Value					
		Q4 2009/10	1.0%					
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average
		2009/10	6.0%	5.0%				Not available
		Note	<p>Performance around this measure has been challenging in 2009/10 particularly in the first 2 quarters of the year. This has largely been due to reducing youth court population and decrease in the numbers of Youth Justice Disposals given when compared to previous years by approximately 47 % (2008/09: 709 disposals: 2009/10: 376). From 379 disposals made in the year 23 were custodial sentences.</p> <p>This has led to a slight increase in the yearly performance for 2009/10 compared to 2008/09 of 0.6%.</p> <p>Of the custodial disposals made in 2009/10 on young people 89% were male and 9 % female.</p> <p>Young people who receive custodial sentences often live chaotic and transitory lifestyles; data shows that 4.3% were living in Priority 1 areas. The actual number of custodial sentences made has remained relatively stable over the past two years averaging at about 8 each quarter. However the numbers have started to decrease in the last 2 quarters (Q3: 6: Q4:1). This trends is linked to the recent activities around this NI which have included training input from the High Risk Operational Manager to the Youth Court Magistrates around the new sentencing framework for young people as well as the introduction of the Scaled Approach. The Scaled Approach means that levels of contact with young people is set according to level of risk and this gives the YOS the ability to supervise high risk young people on robust community sentences with intensive programmes of work to address their offending behaviour. Increased communication with the Magistrates gives them more confidence in the community interventions available making these a credible alternative to custody. In addition the work of the Spotlight Integrated Offender Management Unit in targeting high risk offenders, coupled with the Intensive Resettlement Support scheme which supports young people coming out of custody so that they are less likely to re-offend and return, continue to have a positive impact</p>					






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data						
NI 45	Percentage of young offenders who are actively engaged in suitable education, employment or training	2008/09						
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average		
		2008/09	73.1%			72.4%		
		Quarter 4						
			Value					
		Q4 2009/10	79.6%					
		2009/10						
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours
2009/10	76.5%	78.0%				Not available	Not available	
Note	<p>This indicator measures the number of interventions closed within the quarter and how many of these had children and young people suitably engaged in education, training or employment. Ensuring that young people are supported to access suitable education, training and employment opportunities is a vital aspect of working with young offenders. Young offenders have the right to enjoy and achieve through learning achieve economic well-being like all children and young people.</p> <p>The end of year finalised figure is 76.5%, which is just below the local target of 78 but the direction of travel shows a significant improvement on the past two years performance (2008/09: 73.1%: 2007/08: 71.2%).</p> <p>Actions around Employment Education and Training (EET) for the coming year are to establish Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET) tasking meetings between the Youth Offending Service (YOS) and Connexions staff to look at those young people not in education, training and employment and to agree actions to address barriers to their engagement. The Head of Service is sitting on the recently established NEET executive and has also joined the 14-19 Board so that the service can contribute to partnership strategies to address NEET issues for young people. In addition the use of mentors via the IRS scheme for young people coming out of custody to support them in engaging in suitable EET continues to have a positive impact on performance.</p>							






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 113a	Percentage of the resident population aged 15-24 accepting a test/screen for chlamydia	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	18.1%			Not available	Not available
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	20.4%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	20.4%	25.0%					
Note	<p>Data for Q4 and the year 2009/10 has not been released and will not be until after the general election.</p> <p>However, we know that we have not achieved the target of screening 25% of our population of 15-24 year olds. They year end figure is likely to be approximately 20%, which is a slight improvement on the 08/09 figure.</p> <p>Stockport has taken the approach recommended by the national Chlamydia screening program to embed screening in core primary care settings such as specialist young persons health services, pharmacies and General Practice (GP) practices. We have successful achieved this at Central Youth and have identified a local GP champion, which has resulted in a steady increase in the number of screens carried out in GP practices. We have remained committed to this approach and not pursued the "quick and high volume screens" which some other PCT's have done which have resulted in an increase number of screens but with a very low positively rate (hence, the need to establish a minimum positivity marker see NI 113b). For 10/11, the target is increasing to a very challenging 35%. Discussions are taking place within the Greater Manchester programme to identify cross boundary and programme wide activities to compliment those developed within our own local action plan.</p>						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data				
NI 46	Young Offenders' access to suitable accommodation	2008/09				
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average
		2008/09	96.4%			95.2%
		Quarter 4				
			Value			
		Q4 2009/10	100%			
		2009/10				
	Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	National Average
2009/10	98.9%	95.0%				Not available






Note	<p>Youth Offending Service (YOS) performance around NI 46 is excellent. We have improved on performance from 2008/09 to 2009/10 by 2.5 % having 98.9 % of young people in suitable accommodation at the end of their interventions with the YOS.</p> <p>Performance is also consistently above the target of 95% and this reflects the input the YOT officer with specialist focus on accommodation and the work of the two Children in Care (CiC) social workers with a specific remit to work with those CiC at risk of offending or who have already entered the Youth Justice System. This positive performance is supported by the ongoing work of the Intensive Resettlement Support (IRS) which provides individually tailored support to young people coming out of custody, and the partnership work of the Spotlight Integrated Offender Management Unit co-located team.</p> <p>The actual numbers of young people in each quarter not engaged in suitable accommodation is extremely low (Q1: 3; Q2: 1; Q3: 0; Q4: 0). Of the 5 young people over the year who were not in suitable accommodation at the end of their orders none were living in Priority 1 areas, and all were male White British</p>
-------------	---






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 110	Young people's participation in positive activities	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	62.5%			67.8%	68.2%
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	73.2%	70.0%				66.5%	65.8%
Note	<p>The end of year figure of 73.2% has exceeded the target and is also above both Statistical Neighbour and National Averages. We are currently disaggregating the Tell Us 4 survey data to obtain more detailed information to inform services specific and integrated business planning processes.</p>						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 111	Number of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	338			Not available	Not available
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	35				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	215	325				Not available	Not available
Note	This indicator measures the number of children and young people entering the youth justice system for the first time (FTE).						
	This quarter shows a continuation of extremely positive performance as the number of first time entrants continues to fall. This quarter there are 35 FTE which compares with 78 in quarter 1 and 58 in quarter 2 and 44 in Quarter 3. This gives a total for FTE for the year to date of 215 which well exceeds our target of 325 . This means we will have made a 36% reduction in FTE from 2008/09 to 2009/10 and overall a 57% reduction since 2005/06.						
	The success of the Youth Offending Service (YOS) Prevention Strategy in providing early intervention to those young people aged 8 upwards at risk of offending behaviour has significantly contributed to this performance. In addition the Youth Restorative Disposal Scheme has provided an opportunity to intervene with young people who have committed very low level offences prior to them entering the Youth Justice System.						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 115	Substance misuse by young people	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	10.8%			10.4%	9.3%
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	8.9%	12%				10.5%	9.8%
Note	<p>Good news regarding NI 115 substance misuse and young people. This is measured by TELLUS survey with 11-16 year olds in Secondary Schools. The figure shows that we had higher reported use than our statistical neighbours (SN) and the national figure in 08/09, but have improved to an extent that we are now reporting lower levels than both:</p> <p>08/09 The Stockport adjusted figure for 08/09 was 10.8%, higher reported use than the SN (10.4%) and the national figure (9.3%).</p> <p>09/10 The 09/10 data shows that we have improved to 8.9%, which now shows that we are reporting lower than our SN (10.6%) who have remained the same and lower than the national figure (9.8%), which is actually an increase from last year.</p> <p>The performance has improved beyond the target and is further evidence to support the effectiveness of the MOSAIC School Based Service (data on programmes of work undertaken and outcomes is currently being compiled). MOSAIC has a worker in every Local Authority secondary school 1 day per week working with children using and vulnerable to substance use. This service is an early intervention/prevention service and continued provision across all secondaries is essential maintain progress on this indicator.</p> <p>In addition, we are starting to see the impact of some of the work with children affected by parental substance misuse, improvement in systems around screening and assessment, especially with LAC young people. Continued robust partnership arrangements remain in place between the Youth Offending Team (YOT) & MOSAIC with further pathways planned this financial year with the YOT Prevention Team.</p>						






CYP Q4 09/10 - Economic Wellbeing - Non-LAA (Academic)

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 106	Young people from low income backgrounds progressing to higher education	2005/06							
			Value		Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average	
		2005/06	24.0%				24.0%	20.0%	
		2006/07							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2006/07	25.0%					24.0%	19.0%
Note	<p>The figure of 25% refers to academic year 2006/07. Figures are estimates derived from the matched National Pupil Database, Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) and (Indefinite leave to remain) ILR dataset from The Department for Business, Innovation and skills (BIS).</p> <p>2007/08 performance is expected to be available on the Data Interchange Hub by the end of May 2010.</p> <p>Performance refers to pupils progressing to higher education (HE) at the end of the 2006/07 academic year. The gap between pupils who were eligible for free schools meals (FSM) and their peers has risen by 1% to 25%. The number of FSM pupils who progressed to higher education has fallen by 0.4% to 11% compared with a 0.7% rise to 36% of non-FSM pupils. The draft Aimhigher Greater Manchester Annual Statistic Report 2009 finds that pupils on free school meals appear to do worse in terms of progression to HE in boroughs where overall progression rates are high and cites Stockport as one of these. In contrast the position of those boroughs where overall progression to HE is relatively low, the gap between the participation rates of pupils from the two groups is below the England average. Stockport's performance is now worse than the statistical neighbour average and the gap between England's average has increased.</p>								






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 79	Percentage of young people achieving a Level 2 qualification by age 19	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	75.2%			75.3%	76.7%
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2008/09	78.9%	79.2%					
Note	<p>The target for 2008/09 was 79.2% so this target was missed by 0.3%.</p> <p>The following activities have been agreed and are outlined in the Level 3 and Narrowing the Gap Action Plan and the 14-19 Education, Skills and Targeted Youth Support Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Supporting Level 3 learners with literacy and numeracy needs · Learning from the wider arena, post 16 statistical neighbours and Greater Manchester Challenge. · Joint Learning Conferences/Network days. · A curriculum gap analysis to identify gaps. · Improving progression and retention at 17. · Targeting of priority groups to work towards raising achievement levels. · Introduction of Diplomas. · The Academy of Hospitality Great Britain in Cheadle will offer Apprenticeships in Hospitality/ Customer Care and Chef training with an additional intake · A call centre academy will open in August 2010 offering apprenticeships in customer service. · Well planned careers education across the Local Authority, early guidance to support choice; · Specific pupil groups supported with bespoke offer e.g. Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET); Special Educational Needs (SEN) · Involving young people in the development of the service. · Ensuring the mix and balance of provision attracts those young people, who are at risk of becoming, or are already, disengaged from learning and in particular those who are young carers, young offenders, teenage parents and residents of the most deprived wards (priority groups); · increasing the number of Learners with Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (LDD) who access vocational and work-based learning; · developing progression pathways through a planned approach to the development of the Foundation Learning; · Ensuring the successful delivery of the borough's NEET strategy; · Effectively embedding of functional skills throughout the 14-19 offer to ensure English and Maths attainment is improved; · 60 Young Apprenticeships places have been commissioned. · Increase the Level 2 Apprenticeships starts by 160 in year from the current levels. <p>Priorities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Post 16 learners - those at risk of becoming Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET), plus those identified by the Post 16 Group. 						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 80	Achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	51.2%			50.5%	49.8%
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2008/09	53.7%	54.6%					
Note	<p>The target for 2008/09 was 54.6% so this target was missed by 0.9%.</p> <p>The following activities have been agreed and are outlined in the Level 3 and Narrowing the Gap Action Plan and the 14-19 Education, Skills and Targeted Youth Support Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Supporting Level 3 learners with literacy and numeracy needs · Learning from the wider arena, post 16 statistical neighbours and Greater Manchester Challenge. · Joint Learning Conferences/Network days. · A curriculum gap analysis to identify gaps. · Improving progression and retention at 17. · Targeting of priority groups to work towards raising achievement levels. · Introduction of Diplomas. · The Academy of Hospitality Great Britain in Cheadle will offer Apprenticeships in Hospitality/ Customer Care and Chef training with an additional intake · A call centre academy will open in August 2010 offering apprenticeships in customer service. · Well planned careers education across the Local Authority, early guidance to support choice; · Specific pupil groups supported with bespoke offer e.g. Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET); Special Educational Needs (SEN) · Involving young people in the development of the service. · Ensuring the mix and balance of provision attracts those young people, who are at risk of becoming, or are already, disengaged from learning and in particular those who are young carers, young offenders, teenage parents and residents of the most deprived wards (priority groups); · increasing the number of Learners with Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (LDD) who access vocational and work-based learning; · developing progression pathways through a planned approach to the development of the Foundation Learning; · Ensuring the successful delivery of the borough's NEET strategy; · Effectively embedding of functional skills throughout the 14-19 offer to ensure English and maths attainment is improved; · Working with the Connexions Service to be even more effective in delivering the guarantee that all 16 and 17 year olds are made a suitable offer of education and training, · Introduction of Golden Hellos of £2,500 for employers to take on 16-17 year olds apprentices. <p>Priorities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Post 16 learners - those at risk of becoming Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET), plus those identified by the Post 16 Group. 						






PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 82	Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2007/08	47.8%			52.7%	57.0%
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2008/09	52.9%	N/A			
Note	<p>Performance for 2007/08 was 47.8% so the Free School Meal at Level 2 has improved by 5.1% for 2008/09.</p> <p>The following activities are outlined in the Learning Skills Council Statement of Need 09/10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Improve progression and retention at 17 and ensuring sustainable progression to higher education. · Ensure equality of opportunity, targeting areas of disadvantage, which result in improved success rates for minority groups, increased participation of under-represented groups and reduced imbalances in learning and skills acquisition. · Participate in the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) Greater Manchester Local Authorities pilot to prepare for the raising participation age by developing an area wide strategy to access the challenges, barriers and implement solutions. · There have been improvements in local area curriculum planning, delivery and data sharing, as well as an integrated services approach 						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 81	Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19	2007/08					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
			34.0%			28.7%	24.7%
		2008/09					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
	29.0%	31.9%					
Note	<p>The target for 2008/09 was 31.9% so this target has been met by 2.9%. Performance for 2007/08 was 34% so the gap has decreased by 5%.</p> <p>The following activities are outlined in the Learning Skills Council Statement of Need 09/10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Improve progression and retention at 17 and ensuring sustainable progression to higher education. · Ensure equality of opportunity, targeting areas of disadvantage, which result in improved success rates for minority groups, increased participation of under-represented groups and reduced imbalances in learning and skills acquisition. · Participate in the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) Greater Manchester Local Authorities pilot to prepare for the raising participation age by developing an area wide strategy to access the challenges, barriers and implement solutions. · There have also been improvements in local area curriculum planning, delivery and data sharing, as well an integrated services approach. 						

CYP Q4 09/10 - Economic Wellbeing - Non-LAA (Financial)

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data							
NI 147	Care leavers in suitable accommodation	2008/09							
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average		
		2008/09	86.4%			93.5%	89.6%		
		Quarter 4							
			Value						
		Q4 2009/10	87.9%						
		2009/10							
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2009/10	87.9%	88.0%				Not available	Not available
Note	<p>During 2009/10, 29 out of 33 care leavers were in suitable accommodation. Please note that these figures are provisional, and may change subject to the submission of the SSDA903 statutory return.</p> <p>The outturn for 2009/10 is excellent and shows sustained improvement in young people being placed in suitable accommodation. The newly secured semi-independent provision with Stonham is progressing well and has increased the placement choice for young people approaching independence. This is a significant achievement and is a reflection of the multi-agency approach to supporting young people.</p> <p>One of the challenges for some young people within our residential units who are approaching the age of majority and in further education is in having to move placement mid way through their education course. It is essential that early planning is in place to ensure placement stability as this is a pre cursor to young people succeeding both in their accommodation and Education, Employment and Training (EET). The aim is to ensure that any placement changes are planned and takes into account the EET needs of young people. Clearly a holistic approach to care planning must remain at the fore.</p> <p>The Service Manager Integrated Looked After Children (SM ILAC) has established a meeting with the Team Manager and Housing Officer's to further strengthen the planning for the accommodation needs of young people approaching independence. This is to ensure that young people approaching the age of majority and independence are placed in suitable accommodation and receive the necessary support to succeed. For those young people identified at risks arising from emotional, behavioural or mental health needs the Care and Pathway Planning process is critical to the early identification of need and in order to ensure appropriate agencies are involved i.e. Health, Housing and Education. The Looked After Children and Former Relevant Statutory Reviews undertaken by Independent Reviewing Officer's (IRO's) need to ensure that all plans are robust and targets identified are being progressed and take into account the wishes and views of young people. A recent IRO report highlights an increase in the number of young adults participating in their review (post 18) and greater interagency representation.</p> <p>The overarching placement commissioning strategy needs to be completed and taken forward. This is being progressed via the Strategy and Performance Team.</p>								

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 91	Participation of 17 year-olds in education or training	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	76%			77%	78%
		2009/10 Forecast					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
	2009/10	81%	79%				
Note	<p>2009/10 performance is a forecast figure.</p> <p>March local Client Caseload Information System (CCIS) data indicates a continuing increase in participation in learning by 17 year olds at 87% compared with 84.9% in March 2009. In part this reflects the increased participation in learning rate for young people leaving school at 16, but an analysis of the Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET) Joiners data suggests that young people in employment with training are less likely to become unemployed. The average occupancy of Entry2Employment (E2E) programmes is running at 11% higher in Quarter 4 2010 than in Quarter 4 in 2009. And the same comparative period there has been a significant increase in Apprenticeship vacancies being processed by Services for Young People (SfYP), Connexions and a reduction in the number of jobs without training being notified. 11% more young people. In part this reflects the impact of the recent Academy of Hospitality Great Britain (AHGB) Apprenticeship initiative in Stockport, which had its first intake in January 2010.</p> <p>Although the main impact on the number of young people aged 16-18 who are NEET has fallen on 18 year olds, even that cohort has shown a year-on-year increase in participation (from 72.4% to 76.2%)</p>						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 148	Care leavers in education, employment or training	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend	Statistical Neighbours	National Average
		2008/09	45.5%			62.7%	63.0%
		Quarter 4					
			Value				
		Q4 2009/10	69.7%				
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
2009/10	69.7%	56.0%				Not available	Not available
Note	<p>During 2009/10 23 out of 33 care leavers were in education, employment or training (EET). Please note that these figures are provisional, and may change subject to the submission of the SSDA903 statutory return.</p> <p>Against the worsening economic background the outturn for this cohort of vulnerable young people for 2009/10 is excellent. This clearly reflects the committed and sustained co-ordinated multi-agency effort in helping young people into employment, education and training. The outturn highlights the sustained and dogged approach has resulted in helping young people move toward realising their potential and ambition. The multi-agency strategy aims to ensure young people at risk of disengaging are identified early and preventive action is taken to ensure young people are given every support to reengage and succeed.</p> <p>As previously reported the initiatives which target those young people not in education, employment and training (NEET) remains focussed on seeking to reengaging young people in planning and working towards their future. Some examples of this are: Apprenticeships across the Directorate, Future Jobs Funds and importantly the personalised approach to care and pathway planning. In particular the Councils approach to offering apprenticeships to care leavers has resulted in a turn around in the life chances of some young people who had previously disengaged.</p> <p>As reported under the NI 148 indicator the success of young people engaged in employment, education and training is significantly determined by the stability of their placement/accommodation and the level of support provided to them in addressing any difficulties.</p> <p>As each young persons needs are unique a holistic approach in planning with them for their future must be carefully co-ordinated to identify their needs. The multi-agency EET monitoring group provides for this approach and provision available to young people from a range of services including: Social Work Support, Post 16+ worker linked to VS, Pure Innovations and Connexions has been critical in making sure young people are given the necessary guidance expected of the Local Authority as the Corporate Parent. Central to this is the participation of young people in influencing decision about their life.</p>						

PI Code	Short Name	Performance Data					
NI 116	Proportion of children in poverty	2008/09					
			Value	Long Trend	Short Trend		
		2008/09	N/A	?	?		
		2009/10					
			Value	Target	Status	Long Trend	Short Trend
		2009/10	N/A	N/A	?	?	?
Note	<p>Release date of performance information from the Department of Work and Pensions onto the National Data Interchange Hub is yet to be confirmed.</p> <p>The multi agency strategy group has continued to meet to develop the Child Poverty Strategy. The requirement for a Child Poverty Strategy is now statutory, following the passing of legislation in March 2010. This must be produced by March 2011, with a Needs Assessment published at the same time.</p> <p>Over the next few months the Draft Stockport Child Poverty Strategy will be presented to key partnership boards and scrutiny committees for consultation regarding the priorities direction</p>						

**2009/10 Revenue Budget Monitoring
Update on Provisional Outturn Position**

Report of the Corporate Director, Business Services

1 Introduction

This report provides an update on the 2009/10 revenue budget provisional outturn position. The figures presented in this report are draft at this stage and a more detailed report on the final position will be presented to the next Executive Meeting on 14 June 2010.

2 2009/10 Revenue Budget

2.1 The following table summarises the changes to the revenue budget that have arisen during the fourth quarter of 2009/10.

	Budget as at 31/12/09 £000	Revised Budget 31/03/10 £000	Increase (Reduction) £000
Cash Limits	179,982	180,249	267
Non-Cash Limits	47,822	47,555	(267)
Total	227,804	227,804	0

2.2 As stated in paragraph one above, the figures/adjustments are draft at this stage and it may be necessary to present further adjustments for noting and/or approval in the forthcoming June report.

2.3 The revenue budget total remained unchanged during the final quarter of 2009/10 with resources totalling £0.267m transferring from non-cash limits to cash limits. This transfer comprises:

- Support for the Transportation Portfolio for the bulk of the cost of Winter Maintenance (£0.190m), as approved by the Executive;
- Support for costs incurred on the SEMMMS A6/A555 Link Road Project (£0.072m);
- Minor adjustments relating to the pay review (£0.005m);

2.4 A breakdown of the revised budget by Portfolio is shown at Appendix One and a list of virements which took place during the quarter is included at Appendix Two.

3 2009/10 Forecast Outturn

- 3.1 The provisional outturn forecast for cash limited budgets at this stage shows a surplus of £0.030m. At the end of quarter three the forecast surplus was £0.145m (after taking account of the agreed £0.190m (net) virement to cash limits for Winter Maintenance).
- 3.2 Figures for non-cash limits are still being compiled but are not expected to show any overall deterioration against the quarter three position which anticipated a surplus of £0.164m (when taking account of the agreed £0.190m virement for Winter Maintenance).
- 3.3 The main changes which have occurred since the quarter three report are as follows:
- Communities Portfolio - additional £0.058m surplus due mainly to action being taken to mitigate anticipated reductions in grant funding during 2010/11;
 - Transportation Portfolio - further £0.122m deficit due to the severe winter weather which continued into January. Once the outturn is finalised it is suggested that consideration should be given to meeting this deficit from balances rather than being carried forward within the Transportation Portfolio cash limit;
 - Licensing - £0.036m deficit due primarily to a decline in the income received from Health Education Fees;
 - Minor surpluses and deficits across other Portfolios (£0.015m deficit)
- 3.4 The Adults and Health Portfolio is forecasting a £0.003m surplus against Adult Education. However, the remainder of the Portfolio continues to forecast a balanced position which takes account of the re-profiling of pooled budget contributions between the Council and NHS Stockport for 2009/10 and 2010/11.
- 3.5 The Children and Young People Portfolio continues to report a break even position after the use of earmarked reserves and general balances totalling £0.416m. During the final quarter of 2009/10, projected deficits in the areas of Looked After Children, Children with Disabilities, and Services for Young People are not as large as were anticipated at quarter three, reducing the call on earmarked reserves by some £0.350m.
- 3.6 A breakdown of the change in forecast since quarter three is shown at Appendix Three and the provisional estimated outturn position for cash limits is included at Appendix Four.

4 Conclusions

- 4.1 At the end of the second quarter the Council took action to deal with significant spending pressures which emerged during the first half of

the year. The position in relation to most of those issues has either stabilised or improved but there continue to be significant pressures on the budget, particularly within the Children and Young People Portfolio. Action is being taken to ensure those spending pressures are contained within cash limits for 2010/11.

- 4.2 Work is continuing to finalise the year end position and a further, more detailed, report will be presented to the Executive on 14 June 2010 providing a full breakdown of all material variations against cash and non-cash limits together with details of significant movements on Earmarked Reserves and General Fund Balances during 2009/10. The Executive may be asked to make final adjustments to the outturn, particularly in relation to reserves and balances.

5 Recommendations

The Executive is recommended to:

- approve the virements and budget adjustments set out in Appendix Two of the report;
- note the forecast cash limit outturn position for 2009/10; and
- agree to give further consideration to the financing of the residual winter maintenance deficit once the final outturn position is known

2009/10 Revenue Budget (Revised)

Key	Portfolio/Item	Approved Budget £000	Increase/ (Reduction) £000	Revised Budget £000
	<u>Cash Limits</u>			
	<u>Executive Functions</u>			
A&H	Adults and Health	66,327	(162)	66,165
CHYP	Children and Young People	38,676	(78)	38,598
COM	Communities	9,174	(22)	9,152
CUF	Customer Focus	9,870	(18)	9,852
ENV	Environment	11,855	(59)	11,796
FIN	Finance	14,939	28	14,967
LEI	Leisure	11,578	7	11,585
REG	Regeneration	2,960	2	2,962
TRN	Transportation	11,299	565	11,864
	Sub Total	176,678	263	176,941
	<u>Non-Executive Functions</u>			
LIC	Licensing, Environment and Safety Committee	1,849	2	1,851
PLA	Planning and Highways Committee	1,455	2	1,457
	Sub Total	3,304	4	3,308
	Total (Cash Limits)	179,982	267	180,249
	<u>Non-Cash Limits</u>			
NCL	Total (Non-Cash Limits)	47,822	(267)	47,555
	GRAND TOTAL	227,804	0	227,804

Virements and Other Budget Adjustments

Previously Approved by Executive

Item	Type*	Amount £000	From	To	P/T**
Single Status/Job Evaluation	V	5	NCL	LEI	P
Winter Maintenance (Q3 FMR)	V	250	ENV/NCL	TRN	T

Presented for Approval by Executive

Item	Type*	Amount £000	From	To	P/T**
Social Care Strategy and Performance Team	V	15	A&H	CHYP	P
Re-alignment of Insurance Budgets	BR	286	Various	Various	P
SEMMMS Project	V	72	NCL	FIN/TRN	T

* V=Virement and BR = Budget Re-alignment

** P = Permanent and T = Temporary

Appendix Three

2009/10 Provisional Outturn - Change in Forecast

Key	Portfolio/Item	Quarter 3 (Surplus)/ Deficit £000	Change in Forecast £000	Estimated (Surplus)/ Deficit £000
	<u>Cash Limits</u>			
	<u>Executive Functions</u>			
A&H	Adults and Health	0	(3)	(3)
CHYP	Children and Young People	0	0	0
COM	Communities	(121)	(58)	(179)
CUF	Customer Focus	42	(7)	35
ENV	Environment *	0	0	0
FIN	Finance	(91)	0	(91)
LEI	Leisure	(25)	14	(11)
REG	Regeneration	50	11	61
TRN	Transportation *	0	122	122
		(145)	79	(66)
	<u>Non-Executive Functions</u>			
LIC	Licensing, Environment and Safety Committee	0	36	36
PLA	Planning and Highways Committee	0	0	0
		0	36	36
	Total (Cash Limits)	(145)	115	(30)

* After taking account of approved virements to finance the cost of Q3 Winter Maintenance (£0.060m from Environment Portfolio and £0.190m from Non-Cash Limits).

2009/10 Provisional Outturn As At 31/03/2010

Key	Portfolio/Item	Revised Budget £000	Forecast Outturn £000	(Surplus)/ Deficit £000	Var- iance* %
	<u>Cash Limits</u>				
	<u>Executive Functions</u>				
A&H	Adults and Health	66,165	66,162	(3)	0.0
CHYP	Children and Young People	38,598	38,598	0	0.0
COM	Communities	9,152	8,973	(179)	(2.0)
CUF	Customer Focus	9,852	9,887	35	0.4
ENV	Environment	11,796	11,796	0	0.0
FIN	Finance	14,967	14,876	(91)	(0.6)
LEI	Leisure	11,585	11,574	(11)	(0.1)
REG	Regeneration	2,962	3,023	61	2.1
TRN	Transportation	11,864	11,986	122	1.0
		176,941	176,875	(66)	0.0
	<u>Non-Executive Functions</u>				
LIC	Licensing, Environment and Safety Committee	1,851	1,887	36	1.9
PLA	Planning and Highways Committee	1,457	1,457	0	0.0
		3,308	3,344	36	1.1
	Total (Cash Limits)	180,249	180,219	(30)	0.0

EXPLANATION OF TERMS

Cash Limits

The Council operates a system under which each portfolio is only held responsible for those areas of income and expenditure over which they can exert control. Cash Limits are approved before the financial year commences and each Portfolio is responsible for ensuring that their net expenditure does not exceed their cash limit for that year.

Non-Cash Limits

Items which are largely outside of the direct control and influence of the Council are managed outside of Portfolio Cash Limits. This includes items such as levies and precepts determined by the Association of Greater Manchester Authorities and joint authorities, together with demands on services which the Council cannot avoid meeting (such as Housing Benefit payments and Capital Financing Costs).

Forecast Outturn

This is the forecast of the net income or expenditure for the financial year on each budget heading.

Virement

The switching of budget provision from one budget head to another. Virements must be properly authorised by the appropriate committee or by officers under delegated powers.

Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP)

The minimum amount which must be charged to an authority's revenue account each year and set aside to repay debt, as required by the Local Government Housing Act 1989.

Abbreviations used

Portfolios - Executive Functions

A&H	Adults and Health
CHYP	Children and Young People
COM	Communities
CUF	Customer Focus
ENV	Environment
FIN	Finance
LEI	Leisure
REG	Regeneration
TRN	Transportation

Portfolios – Non-Executive Functions

PLA	Planning and Highways Committee
LIC	Licencing, Environment and Safety Committee

Directorates

AC	Adults and Communities
BSD	Business Services
CE	Chief Executive's
CYP	Children and Young People
EED	Environment and Economy Directorate

AGENDA ITEM 6**Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee**

- Date:** 2nd June 2010
- Title:** **Stockport Managing Medical Conditions Policy (Offerton High School Incident Report)**
- Author:** **Donna Sager, Service Director, Strategy and Performance.**

Introduction

1. At the meeting of Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee in April 2010, Members asked for a report in relation to the tragic incident at Offerton High School.
2. Prior to the tragic death of Samuel Linton, the Authority had provided all schools with a number of policies/guidance on medical conditions and dealing with emergencies. These included the following: –
 - DCSF managing medicines in Schools and Early Years Settings 2005
 - Guidelines on the administration of medicines 1996
 - Supporting Pupils with Medical Needs 1999
 - Headteachers toolkit 2003
 - DCSF First Aid guidelines
 - Medical conditions at schools 2007
 - Critical incidents guidelines 2007

All of the above were delivered to schools, with clear directions on the actions and systems that were expected of schools whose responsibility it was to deliver these on a day to day basis.

Further Action Taken

3. The Local Authority and Stockport PCT revised the existing Medical Conditions Policy which was subsequently issued to all schools on the 30th April 2010.
 - 3.1 The Policy was accompanied by a covering letter to Headteachers which provided details regarding diagnosis of medical conditions and alerted Headteachers to the new requirements for individual health plans. Further discussion between the agencies agreed that there would be an addition to the criteria for children who may require health care plans which will now include children with severe asthma that has required a hospital admission in the last 12 months.

In addition Headteachers were provided with a series of supplementary appendices giving comprehensive documentation of all the medical conditions, signs and symptoms, management procedures and emergency processes. All Headteachers also received a separate document which detailed emergency procedures for each of the medical conditions which could be used in schools for display purposes. Finally an 'Issues for Governors' Consideration' summary document was also sent out on this date to all schools.

- 3.2 Training for Headteachers and support staff took place on the 15th of March 2010 and 101 staff representing 58 schools attended. The half day training session included updates by specialist speakers from Asthma UK, Greater Manchester Ambulance Service, the School Nursing Services and the Local Authority. Following this, in-house training is being offered by school nurses to schools to ensure compliance with the policy.

Further training was held on the 21st of May 2010 for Headteachers and the Director of Children's Services sent a personal letter to each school that was unable to attend the March meeting, requiring their attendance at the training.

- 3.3 In addition briefing sessions for Chairs of Governors have taken place on the 14th and 20th of April 2010.
- 3.4 A training sessions for early years providers including nurseries and childminders will be held on 16th June 2010.

Monitoring

- 4 The Local Authority role for many years now, has been to ensure the provision of overarching policies, information and guidance for schools and a range of support services and training that both Headteachers and Governors can have ready access to. Detailed monitoring of policies at school level is not a function of the Local Authority and there is an expectation that the school has an ongoing system of self assessment and internal accountability, firstly to the Headteacher and subsequently to the group with statutory responsibility for the school, the governing body. Governors are made aware of their health and safety responsibilities through the provision of information and training. Annual reminders are placed on all governing body agendas to review their statutory policies and specifically health and safety. The issue in relation to medical conditions however is that it does not have statutory status and neither national guidance nor education legislation has formally linked this into the overall health and safety requirements.
- 4.1. In order to enhance monitoring processes the LA have developed a self assessment tool for schools which enables them to record and evidence their compliance with the Policy. This will be presented to schools in the autumn term by a Senior School Improvement Adviser.

Schools will complete the self assessment and report back to the Local Authority by October half term. The Local Authority will then review that there has been compliance with all areas on the self assessment tool. If any of the sections are incomplete or the requirements have not been met this will trigger a visit from the Local Authority Monitoring Team. A monitoring team has been established for this purpose which will include a Member of the Health and Safety team, a member of the Client Services team and a member of the School Support team. The team will carry out a visit to 25 schools per year (including those schools that have been assessed as requiring a visit following their submission of their self assessment). If school returns include a significant number of actions, they will be asked to resubmit the self assessment by February half term.

- 4.2 A series of training programmes for Chairs of Governors have been organised for each term in 2010 -11. Schools have been informed that the Medical Conditions Policy should be approved at their School Full Governing Body meeting in the autumn term. This requirement will be included in the example standard agenda issued to all Chairs of Governors. The Local Authority will comply with all changes in any guidance and regulation which may be issued by the DFE
5. Members can access a copy of the Policy and all information provided by accessing the following website:

<http://intranet/smbcintr/new/content/directorates/cyp/PSHEService.asp>

6. Recommendations

Members are asked to receive the report.



AGENDA ITEM 7

Corporate Parenting Strategy

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Stockport Council is committed to providing support to families to enhance family life and ensure children wherever possible are able to live safely and securely within their family of origin. Stockport Council also recognises however, that there are occasions where a decision is rightly made for a child to become looked after, and Stockport Council becomes that child's corporate parent.
- 1.2 The term 'Looked After' refers to any child or young person for whom the Local Authority has, or shares, parental responsibility. The term 'child' refers to any child or young person aged 0-18 years. A Care Leavers' group has suggested that the term LAC (Looked After Child) should refer to being "Loved, Appreciated, Cherished".
- 1.3 The term 'Corporate Parent' is used to refer to the collective responsibility of the Council to provide the best possible care and protection for children who are looked after. The role of the Corporate Parent is to seek for Looked After Children the outcomes that every good parent would want for their own children.
- 1.4 The objective of this Corporate Parenting Strategy is to ensure that the Local Authority and its partners act together as a 'good parent'. A 'good parent' is one who puts their child first, keeps them safe, listens to them, meets their physical, social, cultural, psychological, health, educational and emotional needs; fights on their behalf; develops their full potential and supports them through to independence.
- 1.5 This strategy sets out the Council's strategy to ensure the role of the Corporate Parent is maximised and understood.

2. What is Corporate Parenting?

- 2.1 The concept of corporate parenting relates to the collective duties and Responsibilities of the Local Authority to safeguard and promote the life Chances of Looked after Children.

- 2.2 The central principle of Corporate Parenting is that all councillors and staff employed by the Council should contribute to the parenting of Looked After Children and young people in their care, as tenaciously as they would their own child or children.
- 2.3 The principle of Corporate Parenting was first promoted in the “Quality Protects” programme which was concerned with improving the well-being and life chances of those children who were looked after by Local Authorities.

As part of that programme, the Secretary of State for Health announced that:

“One of the aims of the Quality Protects programme is to assist councils to adopt a truly corporate outcome-orientated approach to parenting children who are looked after by them and supporting the parenting of other children in need.”

- 2.4 The roles of the Council’s Executive and Scrutiny functions were stipulated in the Department for Education and Skills publication, “If this were my Child” in October 2003 (A Councillor’s Guide to Being a Good Corporate Parent).
- 2.5 The Children Act (2004) set out the direction for a major programme of change, which is focussed on the Every Child Matters five outcomes. The duties in Section 10 of the Children Act 2004 require Local Authorities and their “relevant partners” to cooperate to improve children’s well-being. It also gives Local Authorities the duty to make arrangements for local cooperation which will include integrated planning, commissioning and delivery of children’s services. This approach is well embedded within Stockport through the Children’s Trust, and the development of a Corporate Parenting approach to meeting the needs of some of the most vulnerable children and young people sits well within the integrated approach to improving outcomes. The 2002 guidance on Promoting the Health of Looked After Children has been updated in November 2009, placing clearer and greater expectations on PCTs, local hospitals and the Strategic Health Authority to work together with the Local Authority to address the physical health and emotional needs of Looked After Children and Care Leavers.
- 2.6 In order to enhance the welfare and experiences of Looked After Children, corporate parents should:
- Recognise and respect children’s growth to independence by being tolerant and supportive.
 - Provide consistent support and be available to provide advice and practical help when needed.
 - Advocate and trouble-shoot on children’s behalf.
 - Be ambitious for children and encourage and support their efforts to reach their potential, through education, training or employment.

- Celebrate and share the children’s achievements.
- Celebrate birthdays and festivals within the individual child’s religion and culture.
- Encourage and enable appropriate contact with family members where it is in the child’s best interests to do so.
- Encourage children to feel part of the local Community through contact with local organisations.
- Ensure access to appropriate health services.
- Provide a healthy environment, promoting and supporting healthy lifestyles and referral to specialist health promotion services where appropriate.

3. Looked After Children

3.1 Looked After Children are some of the most vulnerable children in society; living away from their families because their parents faced difficulties and pressures in providing for their care, or the children have suffered abuse or neglect whilst in the care of their families.

3.2 Children are provided with care and accommodation to meet their needs, most often this will be in foster care but young people may also be placed in children’s homes or residential schools.

3.3 Children may spend a short time in care, either returning to their families or moving to permanent arrangements such as adoption; but for others, their stay is for many years lasting through to adulthood.

3.4 The Children Act 1989 provides the statutory framework through which the Local Authority’s responsibilities towards the Looked After Children is defined. The Act states that a child may be ‘looked after by a Local Authority’ through either being:

i) In their Care (subject to a Court Order, Section 31) where the Local authority shares “parental responsibility” with the parent for the child to ensure planning for their care meets the child’s needs

or

ii) Provided with Accommodation (Section 20) where the Local Authority supports the parent in the care of the child through offering accommodation because the parent or person with parental responsibility recognises such support is required to achieve the best outcomes for the child. The Local Authority does not share parental responsibility for these children, which remains with the parent.

3.5 This strategy refers to all children and young people in the care of the Council, regardless of the route taken and legal status and Care Leavers. The Children Act 1989 defines a ‘child’ as being aged 0-18 years. However, the Children (Leaving Care) Act 2000 extends the Local Authority’s responsibilities to Care Leavers to those aged up to 21 years, or 25 for young people in Higher Education.

4. The National Picture

- 4.1 There were 59,500 children and young people looked after nationally at 31st March 2009.
- 4.2 National indicators demonstrate an over-representation of children previously in care amongst those who are homeless, unemployed or in prison. A higher proportion of Looked After Children suffer from poor mental health or become teenage parents. Looked After Children are 5 times more likely to develop mental illness than their peers and Looked After Children are often lower academic achiever. Only 1 per cent of Looked After Children go to University.
- 4.3 National statistics on health outcomes for Looked After Children indicate that there has been a year-on-year increase since the first publication of Promoting the Health and Well Being of Looked After Children of the proportion of children who have had their annual health assessment and dental care.

5. The Local Picture

- 5.1 As of 30th April 2010, Stockport had 321 Looked After Children. The majority of these children are placed with SMBC foster carers and with the 2 SMBC children's homes. There are 42 children placed with agency foster carers and 32 in external residential provision. All those placed outside Stockport are monitored by a multi agency panel to ensure their needs are being met and that the option of returning to Stockport is actively considered wherever possible.
- 5.2 The various national indicators demonstrate good performance for Stockport children and there are continued improvements on educational achievement, health, reduced offending rates and employment, although the latter will be challenged in the current economic conditions.
- 5.3 In addition, it must be noted that there are a high number of Looked After Children placed by other Local Authorities living within Stockport. These young people access Stockport education, criminal justice and health services and need to have a place in this strategy.

6. Principles of Corporate Parenting

- 6.1 There are some clear principles of Corporate Parenting which have been worked on by Officers and approved by the Integrated Looked After Children Board. In addition the Child in Care Council offered views and contributed to the development.

The principles are:

- We will never give up on young people, no matter how difficult the challenge of good parenting will be.
- We will be good corporate parents and do our very best to make sure that children and young people's experience of being looked after is positive and fulfilling.
- We want children and young people to be healthy and safe; receive a good standard of education, be able to make a positive contribution to their community and be prepared for a stable and secure adult life.
- We will make sure that children and young people are treated as individuals, and each young person will have a care or pathway plan which will reflect this.
- We will help children and young people enjoy their childhood, and have lasting and enjoyable memories.
- We will enable young people to access health promotion services easily.
- We will help young people maintain important relationships with friends and family wherever it is possible and safe to do so.
- We will inform all children and young people of their rights and entitlements as set out in legislation, guidance and the UN convention on the Rights of the Child.
- We will involve children and young people in decisions that affect their lives, and maximise the opportunity to make choices.
- We will ensure that all children and young people have regular, meaningful and frequent contact with their social worker.
- Where we have expectations on young people, we will make sure they clearly understand what they are.

7. Aims and Objectives

- 7.1 This strategy specifies how Elected Members, Officers and partner agencies contribute to an effective Corporate Parenting Strategy which will improve outcomes for the children they have corporate parenting responsibility for.
- 7.2 The purpose of this strategy is to outline an agreed understanding of the activity associated with Corporate Parents, and to ensure that, supported by partner agencies, all Elected Members and Officers are all working towards common goals and ambitions for children.

- 7.3 This Strategy uses the Every Child Matters outcomes framework as a way of identifying what needs to be done and measuring the progress the Local Authority and partners are making on improving the life chances and outcomes for Looked After Children.

These outcomes are:

- Being healthy.
- Staying safe.
- Enjoying and achieving.
- Making a positive contribution.
- Achieving economic well-being.

It is within the context of these five outcomes that the Local Authority seeks to act as a good corporate parent.

8. Involving Children and Young People

- 8.1 In determining what help or services are to be provided, it is essential that the views and opinions of children, young people and their carers are actively sought.
- 8.2 The Green Paper, Youth Matters 2005 makes it clear that Looked After Children should be encouraged to actively participate in decisions concerning the delivery and development of services for children.
- 8.3 To facilitate ongoing and meaningful participation with children and young people, a Child in Care Council has been established. An Officer from Services for Young People supports the Children in Care Council.

The membership of the Council includes young people, Elected Members and Senior Officers of Children's Services and the Council is served by a participation officer to ensure it represents all young people in Stockport's care, not just those who attend the Council meetings.

- 8.4 The Local Authority has made a commitment to always consult the Children in Care Council on matters which will affect Looked After Children.

9. Governance Arrangements for Corporate Parenting

- 9.1 Stockport has a well established Corporate Parenting Panel. This Panel considers issues that affect Looked After Children, monitor and review services and establish the objectives and priorities for Looked After Children by council departments and partner agencies. The work of the Corporate Parenting Panel will ensure continuing improvements in outcomes for Looked After Children and those leaving care.

- 9.2 The Corporate Parenting Panel will oversee the implementation of the Corporate Parenting Strategy, and delegate responsibilities to Heads of Service or senior managers within all departments and partner agencies.
- 9.3 The Corporate Parenting Panel consists of Councilors, Senior Officers from Children's Services, a representative from the Children's Rights Service and Head of the Virtual School.
- 9.4 The Corporate Parenting Panel will undertake the following functions:
- Provide political and strategic direction in relation to Corporate Parenting.
 - Ensure that Elected Members and services of Stockport Council fulfil their roles and responsibilities as Corporate Parents proactively;
 - Make a demonstrable commitment to prioritising the needs of Looked After Children and their carers.
 - Show ambition and aspiration for Looked After Children and Care Leavers.
 - Undertake regular monitoring of the outcomes associated with the above priorities.
 - Receive regular reports on the level and quality of services to Looked After Children and Care Leavers.
 - Promoting achievements of Looked After Children by supporting celebration events.
 - Champion the provision of Council based work placements and apprenticeships for Looked After Children and Care Leavers.
 - Listen to the views of children through the representations from the Children in Care Council.
 - Report back to Cabinet on the work of the Corporate Parenting Panel.
- 9.5 Stockport has a well established Integrated LAC Partnership Board which the Children's Trust holds to account for the health, well being and achievements of Looked After Children.
- 9.6 The Integrated LAC Partnership Board (ILPB) has representatives from the various partner agencies who share the task of maintaining Looked After Children as a key priority.
- 9.7 The meetings of the Board provide opportunity for leaders from the various agencies within the Children's Trust to come together to reflect on current provision and what can be done to continually improve, through more effective partnership working and better use of resources, the outcomes for children and young people for which the Board has responsibility.

9.8 The LAC Partnership Board will undertake the following functions:

- Deliver local change and improvement for Looked After Children by implementing the requirements of the Children and Young People Act outlined in Stockport's Care Matters *Time to Deliver* Project Plan.
- Ensure that all developments within Integrated LAC are robustly linked through governance arrangements to all Boards within Stockport's Children's Trust.
- Agree an integrated Looked After Children and Care Leavers Strategy.
- Link the integrated strategy to the Children & Young People Plan.
- Work closely with the Head of the Virtual School to drive up standards and improve the educational outcomes of Looked After Children.
- Ensure the development of robust Transition procedures and practices.
- Strengthen, extend and improve the role, responsibilities and accountability of the role of corporate parent.
- Support the creation of relevant and sustainable employment, education and training opportunities for Looked After Children and Care Leavers.
- Ensure the development of a Children in Care Council.
- Ensure all partners deliver on the Children in Care Pledge having developed performance measures against the current pledge.
- Monitor progress in achieving the range of national and local targets related to Looked After Children.
- Ensure that safeguarding underpins all activity and to all duties, requirements and responsibilities around safeguarding Looked After Children are fulfilled by all Partner agencies.
- Take an overview of the development of a commissioning strategy and agree the commissioning priorities for the partnership.
- Work closely with the Health Partnership Board to ensure that Looked after Children are included in all healthy lifestyles initiatives.
- Value and seek out the voice of the child/ young person.
- Ensure that Looked After Children have opportunities to make a positive contribution.
- Maximise the range and availability of accommodation options available to Looked After Children.

10 Specific Activity of Elected Members

- 10.1 The leadership and commitment of Elected Members in their role as Corporate Parents, is of critical importance in achieving good outcomes for children and young people in care. While everyone working with Looked After Children contributes in a shared responsibility to corporate parenting, Elected Members set the vision, allocate the resources and hold officers to account for the delivery of high quality services.
- 10.2 Elected Members in Stockport are committed to working directly with young people to achieve real and lasting partnerships. The Children in

Care Council membership includes Elected Members as part of the Core Membership and joint Chair. It is intended that the Co chair of the Children in Care Council (young person) will be routinely invited to attend the Corporate Parenting Panel to discuss the business and progress of the Children in Care Council. In addition there are aspirations to present to full Council in order to raise the profile of Looked After Children across the chamber.

- 10.3 Elected Members in Stockport undertake a programme of visits to Stockport Children's Homes which comply with regulation 33 of the Children's Homes Regulations 2001. The visits provide Elected Members to experience first hand the care the children receive from the children's homes, and make a judgment about how effectively the home is operating. The reports of the visits are reported to the Corporate Parenting Panel for discussion. There are plans in place for this to be extended to include visits to independent agency homes that have Stockport children placed with them.
- 10.4 Elected Members in Stockport are represented on both the Council's Adoption and Fostering Panels. This role enables Elected Members to play a key role in the quality assurance function which determines the suitability of applicant carers for children, as well as any other relevant matters of concern within the remit of each Panel. This role enabled Elected Members to maintain familiarity with issues relating to the adoption and fostering of Looked After Children.
- 10.5 Elected members in Stockport take an active role in participating in inspections and in meeting external inspectors to get first hand feedback about how the Council is performing with regards to Looked After Children.

This strategy will be revised annually by the ILAC board which will report direct to the Children's Trust Board as appropriate, any amendments reflecting changes in legislation, guidance, local need or feedback from partners.

Scrutiny Review – Executive Response

<p>Scrutiny Committee: Children and Young People Review title: Young Carers in Stockport Date completed: February 2010 with Executive - March 2010 Officer responsible for response: Dominic Tumelty Date response(s) agreed:</p>
--

This is the agreed response of the Executive to this Scrutiny Committee review.

Recommendation	Agreed response	Timescale
<p>Recommendation 1</p> <p>That the Executive work closely with partner agencies to design and implement a dedicated Young Carers Strategy to address the long-term support offered to Young Carers and to ensure a partnership approach is adopted.</p>	<p>The Executive confirms that CYPD will take a full and active part in the Carers Partnership Board. Work is already in progress as part of a multi agency partnership board, comprising of Local Authority, PCT, and the voluntary sector. Dominic Tumelty Head of Service, Social Care and Health will be the lead manager and ensure that other officers are fully engaged as appropriate. As young carers issues are part of a Stockport wide carers strategy any developments for a young carers strategy should be looked at in the context of this partnership board.</p>	<p>In place and part of business plan for 2010-11</p>

<p>Recommendation 2</p> <p>That the Executive agrees that an urgent investigation is required in to the funding opportunities available to those who support Young Carers and takes consideration of the following options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The alignment of Local Authority and PCT funding for Signpost Young Carers to allow forward planning. ➤ The use of a proportion of the 'Dedicated Schools Grant' to fund the support offered to Young Carers in schools. 	<p>PCT funding has been agreed until 2013. The Council has also awarded Pif monies to support the early identification of young carers in all primary schools across Stockport. CYPD will explore whether the contract can be extended to 2013 to bring the timings in line with PCT funding.</p> <p>The use of DSG requires presentation and consideration by Stockport Schools Forum and the Executive will explore further this matter.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">July 2010</p>
--	--	---

<p>Recommendation 3</p> <p>That the Executive addresses concerns regarding the awareness of Young Carers and their required support by introducing a series of borough wide campaigns to increase knowledge and understanding. That the Executive also utilises learning from the Who Cares? Pilot in raising the profile of Young Carers across the borough as a whole.</p>	<p>The Executive confirms that the 'Who Cares' booklet has been reproduced and is now available Borough wide. The booklet is a resource for all teaching staff in both primary and secondary schools, and across social care offices. Further roll out to Services for young people is planned.</p> <p>A young carers multi agency group has been set up to specifically look at young carers support in schools. Leading this group are officers from Integrated Prevention Services , MOSAIC, Young Carers, Healthy Schools , Parenting Support Staff Development, Education Improvement Partnership and Strategy and Performance</p> <p>The group is currently considering a Stockport Young Carers week in the spring 2011, which could be used to raise awareness and be a focus for activities in schools and local services.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
---	---	-----------------------

<p>Recommendation 4</p> <p>That the Executive be requested to support the delivery of a series of training events in conjunction with Signpost Young Carers and other supporting agencies to raise Young Carers as an issue and to inform professionals of the importance in understanding and communicating relevant information. That the training events aim to inform the following groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Council based supporting agencies, for example Adult Services ➤ PCT based supporting agencies, for example 	<p>The Executive supports the provision of training events and will ensure that officers target those services detailed in the recommendation. Discussions are currently taking place with Staff Development (Shirley Jones) to look at incorporating young carers issues in the common assessment framework training and other induction training that is given to new staff. In addition discussions are ongoing to explore the opportunities for training to take place with the healthy schools programmes and t PSHE lessons. This training will also be supported by having a named lead person within schools.</p> <p>Katy Frankland and Alison Rundell are identifying resources for schools to use for assemblies, lesson plans and linking with PSHE and guidance programmes.</p> <p>Signpost young carers will continue to offer ongoing training to learning mentors, CAMHS, Educational Welfare, Stockport Drug and Alcohol team etc.</p>	<p>Throughout the business year</p>

<p>Stockport Drug and Alcohol Team</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ CAMHS ➤ School Teaching and Support Staff (e.g. Learning Mentors / Education Welfare Officers). ➤ School Governors ➤ School Pupils (though PSHE lessons) ➤ Probationary Services 	<p>Signpost young carers service will continue to liaise with staff development and key managers within Adult services to look at opportunities to train adult workers.</p>	
<p>Recommendation 5</p> <p>That the Executive, in consultation with all supporting partners, agree a consistent approach for all officers and professionals who enter the home with an objective to assess and support vulnerable families and to recognise actual and potential Young Carers and signpost them to the relevant supporting agencies.</p>	<p>The Executive acknowledges that this recommendation is closely aligned to the work with Staff Development and particularly the inclusion of young carers issues in Common .Assessment .Form training which covers the whole of the children’s workforce.</p>	

<p>Recommendation 6</p> <p>That the concerns of the Panel regarding the way information is shared between supporting agencies relating to Young Carers be addressed through further investigation and the Executive be recommended to develop a more effective and manageable protocol.</p>	<p>The Executive accepts this recommendation and will ensure that the matter of information sharing and protocol development will be a key element of the carers strategy.</p>	
<p>Recommendation 7</p> <p>That the Executive consider the creation of a 'Pathway Plan' for Young Carer support services similar to</p>	<p>The Executive accepts this recommendation and notes that draft procedures are in place for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A CYPD Young Carers Policy 2. A Young Carers Charter 	

<p>the model already designed for teenage pregnancies to assist with identifying the correct support.</p>	<p>3. The identification of a School lead for young carers 4. Ways to support young carers in school and will pursue the opportunities for such an approval to be adapted to cover all children's workforce offices.</p>	
<p>Recommendation 8</p> <p>That the Executive work closely with partner agencies and Schools to install 'Young Carer Contacts' who will act as a first point of call for Young Carers, other supporting agencies, and for parents. That the Executive and partner agencies support the 'Young Carer Contacts' in building a professional network to develop communications and learning. That the Executive also encourage schools to elect a school governor with a special responsibility to monitor Young Carer provisions and that Young Carer issues are</p>	<p>The Executive notes that some of this work is already underway through the young carers multi agency group whom have produced a number of draft documents for schools as described above.</p> <p>Three packs have been produced in draft form for school governors, head teachers/school leadership teams and the identified school lead. This includes an overview of the scrutiny recommendations. These documents will be circulated amongst a number of head teachers for approval. The documents will be ready for the September term 2010. Officers have liaised with Governor Services regarding an agenda item for the autumn governor body meetings, so that governors can discuss, agree and ratify the relevant documents.</p> <p>Following schools naming a lead person for young carers, 2 twilight events for the identified leads are planned for October 2010. The sessions will raise</p>	<p>October 2010</p>

<p>included as a standing item on Board of Governors termly agenda.</p>	<p>awareness of young carers issues and outline the key responsibilities of the lead within the schools.</p>	
<p>Recommendation 9</p> <p>That the Executive work closely with schools to ensure that Signpost Young Carers and MOSAIC have an opportunity to access schools and offer support to those Young Carers who require it. That the Executive liaise with schools regarding their responsibility to safeguard vulnerable groups and agree how best to support Young Carers in the individual schools.</p>	<p>The Executive acknowledges that much of this is covered above and by the ongoing work of the MOSAIC school based service. In addition the access to schools is enhanced by the further extension of the funding of the Social Care in partnership with schools service which will continue for a further academic year.</p>	<p>Ongoing throughout academic year and refreshed in Sept 2010</p>
<p>Recommendation 10</p> <p>That the Executive work closely with Signpost Young Carers in establishing a town</p>	<p>The Executive notes that the Town Centre 'drop in' will commence 5th May 2010 at the premises of Disability Stockport. They will run on a fortnightly basis and will</p>	<p>May 2010</p>

<p>centre base for Young Carers to access support and advice. That the Executive explore opportunities with partner organisations to allow Signpost Young Carers to share accommodation and / or utilise available space in the Town Centre.</p>	<p>be open to all young carers between the ages of 6 -18 years old. The 'drop ins' will give young carers the opportunity to access group activities and one to one support. The Pif funding allocated by the Executive will support this project.</p> <p>In addition there may be opportunity for the LAC drop in centre to host sessions for young carers. This will be actively considered as the centre develops.</p>	
<p>Recommendation 11</p> <p>That the Executive investigate further a 'Young Carer's Identity Card Scheme' which appears to have proved very successful in Sunderland and assess the potential of such a scheme in Stockport.</p>	<p>The Executive confirms that this will be investigated by Signpost Young Carers Service and will be discussed with the new leads in each school. Officers will complete assessment work to explore the viability of such a scheme and discussions will also take place with young carers to ascertain their thoughts, feelings and views.</p>	<p>September 2010</p>
<p>Recommendation 12</p> <p>That the Executive request</p>	<p>The Executive has tasked the CYPD Senior</p>	<p>July 2010</p>

<p>that DCSF acknowledge that, although Local Authorities utilise their own funding to support Young Carers, this is a national problem. Therefore national resources are an important part of tackling this issue. DCSF are requested to expand the current Carers' Grant to provide 'pump prime' funding to support Young Carers' on an 'invest to save' basis with a possibility of a five year pilot for all schools.</p>	<p>Management Team to consider this recommendation in the round and respond further.</p>	
---	--	--

Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee

2 June 2010

RESUME OF THE CHILDREN'S TRUST BOARD – 11 MARCH 2010**Report of the Assistant Chief Executive (Strategy & Democracy)****1. MATTER FOR CONSIDERATION**

To consider the proceedings of the last meeting of the Children's Trust Board on 11 March 2010.

2. INFORMATION**2.1 The Trust Board considered the following items:-****Third Quarter Performance Report and Partnership Progress Update**

Geraldine Gerrard (Head of Information, Performance & Business Transformation), Children & Young People's Directorate, Stockport Council - 474 3837

The Board considered the 2009/10 third quarter performance report and the chairs of the tactical partnerships were invited to give a brief summary of performance pertaining to those indicators that had been allocated to them.

Health Partnership**NI 56 – 'Percentage of children in Year 6 with height and weight recorded who are obese'**

It was reported that the target for this indicator had narrowly not been met for Year 6, although the target had been met for Reception children. Despite this, Stockport was performing relatively well, being the best area in Greater Manchester and the second best in the North West. The Healthy Weight Strategy had been launched in January 2010 which would place greater emphasis on preventative work.

NI 112 BV197 – 'Percentage reduction in under 18 conception rate (from 1998 baseline)'

It was reported the under performance on this indicator reflected national underperformance, and again Stockport was performing well in comparison to other Greater Manchester and North West areas. There was a long term downward trend in conception rates. In recognition of the likelihood of most local authorities not being able to reach the prescribed aspirational targets, Government Office had dropped the target from the Local Area Agreement.

The Board then discussed the issues surrounding teenage conceptions, and in particular the geographical pattern of conceptions resulting in birth, with rates highest in Brinnington. This reflected broader social trends and would require significant work over time to address issues around aspirations.

NI 50 – 'Emotional Health of Children'

It was reported that NHS Stockport had recently appointed a public health worker to provide greater focus to the work on emotional wellbeing. Previously there had been a focus on mental health, and a new group had been established to embed emotional wellbeing within the work of the Trust.

It was reported that emotional wellbeing was now an element within the Ofsted Framework, and it was important to identify areas of overlap and joint interest with the public health agenda.

It was also reported on a project developed by the Local Partnerships and overseen by the Health Partnership to work with schools that have significant intake from priority areas to provide extra capacity for dealing with drug and alcohol misuse.

14-19 Partnership

It was reported that performance on a number of targets had remained the same since the last quarterly update due to the yearly data reporting.

In relation to NEETs it was reported that the partnership had again discussed the issues. It was commented that the decreasing job vacancies in the borough were exacerbating the problem. There was currently a review of the Connexions Service to ensure best possible interface with young people. Following the end of the LSC arrangements, a 14-19 team would be in place within the Council from 1 April 2010.

The Board then discussed apprenticeships and the potential expansion of the number of opportunities for young people. It was commented that although changes to the LSC would enable more flexibility the arrangements with funding were often problematic and may put added pressure on school budgets.

It was suggested that the partners of the Trust should consider offering opportunities for apprenticeships within their organisations.

It was also suggested that proxy indicators could be developed by the 14-19 Partnership to overcome the issues with the timeliness of data.

Education Partnership

It was reported that while a number of indicators were off target, this did not necessarily reflect the good performance against very challenging targets. The 2011/12 targets had recently been agreed with the DCSF which placed Stockport within the top 10% most challenging targets in the country. It was suggested that performance be judged against a range of factors, including statistical neighbours and 'past history' as well as against the 'targets'.

Clarification was sought on whether Stockport Academy was included within the NI78 'Number of schools where fewer than 30% of pupils achieve 5 or more A*-C grade at GCSE and equivalent including GCSEs in English and Maths'. It was clarified that while the Academy was included within this target, it was not included in the target setting for the DCSF, which reduces Stockport's overall performance.

The Board also discussed some of the current issues surrounding school funding and the ever changing funding formulas following concerns raised at the Schools Forum,

Integrated CIC Management Board

It was reported on a recent successful event celebrating achievement for looked after children at which the Looked After Children Pledge was signed by the Executive Councillor (Children & Young People) and the Corporate Director, Children & Young People.

NI61 – ‘Timeliness of placements of looked after children for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption’

It was reported that performance remained off target but was due to a particularly large sibling group needing to be placed from within a very small target group. In this case, not achieving the target simply reflected the reality of achieving the best outcome for the children involved.

NI 147 – ‘Care leavers in suitable accommodation’

It was reported that while performance was under target it was still relatively strong, but work was ongoing with social housing partners to improve performance including giving higher priority to supporting emotional wellbeing of care leavers.

Local Safeguarding Board

NI60 – ‘Percentage of core assessments for children’s social care that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement’

It was reported that although the indicator was off target, performance remained relatively strong despite recent changes to the reporting arrangements which had initially been expected to cause a large reduction in performance.

NI68 – ‘Percentage of referrals to children’s social care going on to initial assessment’

The Board discussed the methodology for this indicator and in particular whether there were adequate measures in place to audit those referrals not going on to initial assessment rather than simply focussing on the numbers that did. It was reported that there was regular sampling of the non-assessed cases to ensure that standards were maintained and that the indicator was not given undue weight in the functioning of the assessment system.

The Board also discussed the positive impact of SCIPS (Social Care in Partnership with Schools) workers in schools, but members expressed concern that there was increasing pressure on these workers to carry out core assessments, due in part to the 60% increase in referrals which meant less time in schools. In response to concerns expressed about future funding of the workers it was reported that there was a high likelihood of the resources being found to continue the scheme.

The Board invited the 14-19 Partnership to develop proxy measures for the Indicators where there were problems with the timeliness of performance data.

The Board also requested that the Head of School Improvement and the Deputy Director of Public Health be requested to consider areas of overlap between the Ofsted

Framework and the work of NHS Stockport in respect of emotional wellbeing for children and young people.

Main Priorities for Children and Young People's Disabilities Partnership

Cath Millington (Head of Service - Children and Young People's Disability Partnership), Children & Young People's Directorate – Stockport Council - 0161 249 4471

The Board considered a report providing an update on the main priorities and areas of work of the Children and Young People's Disabilities Partnership, including short breaks, continuing care and Innovate Awards.

Children And Young People's Trust Planning Update

Geraldine Gerrard (Head of Information, Performance & Business Transformation), Children & Young People's Directorate, Stockport Council - 474 3837

The Board considered a report setting out a new planning framework for the Children & Young People's Plan (CYPP) that from April 2010 would be the statutory responsibility of the Children's Trust Board. The new framework would seek to ensure that the 'We Wills' of the CYPP would be reflected within partners' business plans and would better capture the activities of partners in so far as they contribute to their achievement. This performance would be reported to the Trust as part of the quarterly performance monitoring reports.

The Board welcomed the opportunities to increase collaboration but emphasised the need to avoid duplication and ensuring that collaborative actions were captured by the assessment.

The Board then endorsed the new performance framework.

Review Of The Local Partnerships

Anne McGrath (Assistant Head of Integrated Prevention Services), Children & Young People's Directorate – Stockport Council - 218 1787

The Board considered a report setting out proposals for the remodelling of the local arrangement of the Trust following consultation with partners. The proposal sought to build on the success of the Local Partnerships but aimed to overcome the perceived weaknesses of the structure. The focus of the new arrangements would be on service mapping, service integration and targeting, in line with Government drivers for integration and the ambitions of the Trust and reflected the work already taking place.

The recommendations of the report had been tested against Audit Commission assessments of Children's Trusts and had been subject to an independent assessment which had found that the proposals accorded with the DCSF direction of travel.

The Chair stated that the aim of the proposals was to give focus to schools and children centres to enable closer working between partners. The proposals sought a balance between locality areas that were of sufficient size to ensure relevance for partners to address the particular needs of that area with ensuring that the priorities of the Trust in the Children & Young People Plan were being met. The tactical partnerships would continue to monitor the performance of local arrangements.

The Board discussed the practical implications of the proposals and the impact on the Local Partnership structure. It was clarified that the recommendation would involve the reduction in the frequency of Local Partnership meetings although the structure of local clusters would be retained as a focus for statistical analysis. This information would then feed into the wider Trust's planning and commissioning processes and would enable partners to develop projects locally to tackle specific issues.

There was also discussion about the tension between the need to target inequalities within priority communities and the need to provide universal services to all children and young people. It was commented that there were areas of deprivation and instances of acute need outside of the priority areas and concern were expressed that services were not always available in a timely fashion to meet this need, with some areas feeling 'resentment' at the perceived lack of resources. It was also recognised that there was positive work being done in schools with large intakes from Priority Areas and that these schools were often better able to meet challenges associated with this intake than those schools where such intake was small.

The following additional issues were raised:-

- The recommendations were in line with much of the thrust of the work of partners, particularly the NHS.
- The emphasis should be on ensuring that the service user was unaware of the architecture behind the arrangements and was able to access the services they needed regardless of where they lived.
- It was important not to put too great an emphasis on resources as the discretion for spending was limited. There were good examples of outcome focused projects being done without significant resources but through co-operation between partners, such as the Kingsway's 'wellbeing room'.
- The proposals would help to create a culture of change and continuous improvement within the Trust's activities.
- It was important to ensure that Common Assessment Framework became central to the activity of the Trust and best use was made of the data it provided, which was not always happening at present. These proposals would assist with this process.
- The use of cluster information was key to the success of the Trust: having timely data for both the Annual Trust planning event, for local partners and for tactical partnerships would enable all the components of the Trust to make a valuable contribution to the 'We Wills'.

The Board then endorsed, in principal, the proposals for the remodelling of the Local Partnership arrangements as set out in the report and that these form the basis of consultation with the Local Partnerships, subject to further consideration of the comments made by the Board and any necessary clarifications to the wording of the proposals to be agreed with the Chair.

The Board agreed that the final recommendations be considered by this Board in September 2010.

Finally, the Board agreed that further consideration should be given to the arrangements for a Trust business planning/ priority setting event and the most appropriate mechanisms for all partners to contribute to this process.

Coordinating A Children's Trust Event 2010

Caroline Gallogly, Communication & Engagement Manager, Children & Young People's Directorate, Stockport Council - 07891949065

In light of the discussion in relation to the Local Partnership arrangements, the Board were invited to consider a proposal to hold a Trust wide event for staff with partner organisations to disseminate Trust information. The event would build on similar staff events organised by the Council's Children & Young People's Directorate. It was envisaged that this event would compliment other mechanisms the Trust may use to for its business planning and priority setting.

The Board then endorsed the proposal for a Children's Trust event for staff and partners.

Children's Trust Governance Arrangements

Jonathan Vali, Principal Democratic Services Officer, Chief Executive's Directorate, Stockport Council – 0161 474 3201

The Board considered a report setting out a proposal to alter the provision of the Trust's Constitution for the publication of papers relating to Board meetings and access to these meetings in light of decision made by the Stockport Partnership on 2 February 2010 in respect of access to information of its thematic partnerships.

The Board were also invited to agree that the minutes of the Tactical Partnership Boards be made available to the public and partners through the Children's Trust web pages.

The Board then agreed to alter the Board's Constitution, as set out in paragraph 8.1 of the report, including the adoption of the access to information categories as set out in appendix 1 of the report.

It was also agreed that minutes of the Tactical Partnerships on the Children's Trust be published on the web pages, subject to necessary exclusions in respect of confidential information.

RECOMMENDATION

That the resume be noted.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Reports and Minutes of the Children's Trust Board – 11 March 2010

Children's Trust Board documents can also be found on the web page at www.stockport.gov.uk/childrenstrust

Anyone wishing to inspect the above background papers or requiring further information should contact Jonathan Vali on telephone number 0161 474 3201 or alternatively e-mail jonathan.vali@stockport.gov.uk

AGENDA ITEM NO. 10

COMMITTEE: CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

DATE: 2nd JUNE 2010

REPORT OF: ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (STRATEGY AND DEMOCRACY)

REPORT TITLE: AGENDA PLANNING

1) PLANNED AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE NEXT TWO MEETINGS

(Committee Members are asked to note that agenda items are indicative at this stage and will be subject to change and added to following this meeting)

14th July 2010

Item	Type/Purpose
4 th Quarter Financial Monitoring Report	Monitoring Report
Child Poverty Strategy	Consultation Report
The Role of Health Visitors	Report Request
Cyber-bullying Scrutiny Review	Scrutiny Review

23rd September 2010

Item	Type/Purpose
1 st Quarter Corporate Performance Report	Monitoring Report
1 st Quarter Financial Monitoring Report	Monitoring Report
Local Safeguarding Children Board Annual Report	Annual Report

RELEVANT ENTRIES IN JUNE 2010 FORWARD PLAN

Decision Reference	Subject Area for Decision	Expected Date of Decision	Decision Maker
CYP 31	Amalgamation of St Joseph's RC Infant and Junior Schools, Reddish	June 2010	Executive